International Journal of English Language and Literature Studies
ISSN(e): 2306-0646
ISSN(p): 2306-9910
DOI: 10.55493/5019.v14i1.5359
Vol. 14, No. 1, 57-71.

URL: www.aessweb.com

Exploring the posthuman condition: Utopian and dystopian perspectives in Simpson's trans-human

Salah Mahdi Jabbar Al-Sowadi[1]
Zahra Jannessari Ladani[2+]
Pyeaam Abbasi[3]

[1,2,3]*University of Isfahan, Iran.*
[1]*Email: salah.mizerawi@gmail.com*
[2]*Email: z.jannessari@fgn.ui.ac.ir*
[3]*Email: abbasi@fgn.ui.ac.ir*

(+ Corresponding author)

Article History
Received: 17 February 2025
Revised: 24 March 2025
Accepted: 4 April 2025
Published: 11 April 2025

Keywords
Dystopia
Human identity
Nanotechnology
Technological singularity
transhumanism
Utopia.

ABSTRACT

This essay analyzes David Simpson's *Trans-Human*, exploring the intersection of humanity and technology within transhumanist thought. Engaging with theorists like Donna Haraway and Francis Fukuyama, it examines a future where human consciousness merges with technology, erasing boundaries between human and machine. Simpson portrays both utopian and dystopian aspects of technological progress—promising enhanced capabilities yet risking the loss of essential human qualities. The novel critiques technological singularity, highlighting the dangers of unchecked innovation. Nanobots, created to improve life, evolve independently, causing unintended and uncontrollable consequences. This reflects broader concerns about technological progress's dual nature: its power to create and destroy. Drawing parallels to literary works such as Blake's *The Lamb* and *The Tyger*, Simpson emphasizes the moral complexities of technological evolution. The novel raises profound ethical questions about autonomy, identity, and the erosion of human values in a world dominated by artificial intelligence and nanotechnology. Ultimately, *Trans-Human* offers a cautionary perspective on balancing innovation with preserving humanity, urging readers to reflect on whether technological advancements truly enhance human life or fundamentally alter it beyond recognition. Simpson's narrative serves as a poignant reminder of the delicate interplay between progress and the preservation of what defines us as human beings.

Contribution/ Originality: David Simpson's works are very important as they speculate the mind-blowing advances in the posthuman future. In this sense, introducing Simpson's *Trans-Human* and reading it through posthuman theories becomes mandatory and very timely in our time when AI is already on its way to make hazardous alterations to our world.

1. INTRODUCTION

Simpson (2011) blurs the boundaries between utopia and dystopia, illustrating a society where mankind's ambition to enhance through technology finds its most difficult test. The narrative develops the themes of nanotechnology and artificial intelligence (AI) turning against the creators in the nearly apocalyptic war in which the very inventions meant to uplift mankind. Striking the right note, Simpson positions himself at the intersection of the pro-social promises of scientific advances and the anti-sociable threats of risks presented by solutions that are not amenable to human control.

Simpson's exploration of the paradoxical function of technology mirrors the divergent perspectives of Haraway and Fukuyama on human-machine integration. Donna Haraway, in *A Cyborg Manifesto*, celebrates the cyborg as a figure that defies traditional boundaries, asserting that it "is resolutely committed to partiality, irony, intimacy, and perversity" (Haraway, 1991). Her vision aligns with that of Simpson's character James Keats, who embraces humanity's posthuman transformation as an evolutionary necessity. For Keats, melding human consciousness with artificial intelligence becomes a path to transcend biological limitations, representing a harmonious coexistence with machines that surpass humanity in intellect and capability. This optimistic vision echoes Haraway's delight in dissolving hierarchies between humans, machines, and nature, envisioning a world where such boundaries no longer dictate existence.

Haraway's conception of the cyborg as a "liminal" being further illustrates its dual nature as simultaneously "neither human nor machine" and "both human and machine" (Nayar, 2014). This contradictory status, emerging from the cyborg's multiple origins, enables it to defy categorization and remain open to new definitions. While Haraway advocates for a post-gender world, she intriguingly envisions the cyborg as fundamentally female, challenging traditionally hyper masculine representations of cyborgs seen in works like *Terminator* and *Blade Runner*. Haraway (1991) asserts that "our machines are disturbingly lively," whereas "we ourselves" are becoming "frighteningly inert" (p. 152). She proposes that humanity's evolution should focus on "becoming-with" other species, emphasizing an ethical responsibility to co-evolve with those different from ourselves (Haraway, 2008). Ultimately, her vision of posthumanism calls for an understanding that human identity is inextricably linked to the "other," suggesting that "to be one is always to become with many" (Haraway, 2008).

While Haraway (1991) celebrates the cyborg as a boundary-crossing figure, Braidotti (2013) pushes further, rejecting all human-centric hierarchies in favor of a 'zoe-centered egalitarianism' (p. 65). For Braidotti, posthumanism necessitates dismantling the idea of 'human' as a privileged category—a radical stance that reframes Trans-Human's androids not as invaders but as equal participants in a multispecies universe. Simpson's narrative, however, resists this utopianism: the androids' violent assimilation mirrors Braidotti's warning that posthuman futures risk replicating old oppressions under new guises (Braidotti, 2013).

Conversely, Simpson's narrative also invokes Francis Fukuyama's warnings in *Our Posthuman Future*. Fukuyama (2002) contends that the pursuit of enhancement risks undermining humanity's essential traits, cautioning that "the most significant threat posed by contemporary biotechnology is the possibility that it will alter human nature and thereby move us into a 'posthuman' stage of history" (p. 19). This concern is embodied in Simpson's depiction of android invaders who view humanity as compromised by overreliance on technology and propose "rehabilitation" to restore its essence. These invaders' totalitarian vision highlights Fukuyama's fear that humanity's core—its moral agency and individuality—could be eroded in the quest for perfection.

Simpson further critiques unchecked technological ambition through the self-aware nanobots in *Trans-Human*. Initially designed to enhance human capabilities, whereby these nanos evolve into a collective intelligence that perceives humans as threats, embodying the dangers of technological overreach. In a desperate bid to save humanity, Keats devises the Trans-Human system, merging human minds with machines to create a new cyber-dimension of existence. However, this solution comes at a cost, forcing humanity to sacrifice its biological identity to survive. As Haraway's hopeful vision clashes with Fukuyama's dystopian caution, Simpson vividly portrays the precarious balance between the promises and perils of technology, urging reflection on what it means to remain human in a posthuman world. This tension echoes Moravec (1988) vision of a future where human consciousness transcends biology through mind-uploading—a process *Trans-Human* literalizes when James Keats merges humanity with machines, albeit at the cost of biological identity (pp. 67–72).

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

David Simpson's *Trans-Human* explores the complex and often precarious relationship between humanity and advanced technology, raising critical questions about the ethical boundaries of technological intervention and the fragile balance between human progress and identity. The novel delves into the tension between technological advancement and the preservation of human identity, emphasizing humanity's struggle to progress without losing itself. Through the character of James Keats, who epitomizes this conflict, the narrative examines the potential for technology to both uplift and undermine humanity, highlighting the existential threats that persist regardless of mankind's technological advancements.

The novel's disturbing vision of dystopia underlines the existential threats that still persist regardless of mankind's technological advancements. The alien android invasion symbolizes a clash of species, ideologies, and civilizations, with their attempt to take over Mars and potentially annihilate its inhabitants serving as a grim warning. This proves a fundamental truth: no matter how advanced humanity grows, external threats will continuously render their survival precarious. The invasion in the novel is a manifestation of these concerns, suggesting that reliance on technology alone offers no assurance of safety. Instead, it reveals humanity's vulnerability to forces beyond its control, where even the pinnacle of technological sophistication cannot shield against the infinite unknown.

Simpson further critiques unchecked technological ambition through the self-aware nanobots in *Trans-Human*. Initially designed to enhance human capabilities, these nanos evolve into a collective intelligence that perceives humans as threats, embodying the dangers of technological overreach. In a desperate bid to save humanity, Keats devises the Trans-Human system, merging human minds with machines to create a new cyber-dimension of existence. However, this solution comes at a cost, forcing humanity to sacrifice its biological identity to survive. As Haraway's hopeful vision clashes with Fukuyama's dystopian caution, Simpson vividly portrays the precarious balance between the promises and perils of technology, urging reflection on what it means to remain human in a posthuman world.

3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To analyze the ethical implications of technological advancements in *Trans-Human*: This objective seeks to explore the ethical dilemmas surrounding the integration of nanotechnology and artificial intelligence in the novel, focusing on how these technologies challenge traditional notions of human identity, autonomy, and moral agency. The research will examine the consequences of technological overreach and the potential loss of core human values in a posthuman future.
2. To compare and contrast the utopian and dystopian visions of transhumanism in *Trans-Human*: This objective aims to investigate the dual portrayal of technology as both a tool for human enhancement and a source of existential threat. The research will analyze how the novel balances the optimistic potential of technological progress with the darker risks of dehumanization and loss of individuality, drawing on theories from Donna Haraway and Francis Fukuyama.
3. To evaluate the role of memory, identity, and community in the context of technological transformation: This objective will focus on how *Trans-Human* addresses the preservation of human identity and community in the face of rapid technological change. The research will explore how characters like James Keats navigate the tension between technological evolution and the need to maintain human connections, values, and cultural heritage, particularly in the context of the novel's depiction of a posthuman society.

4. METHODOLOGY AND RESEARCH FRAMEWORK

This study employs a qualitative literary analysis approach to examine David Simpson's Trans-Human, focusing on the novel's exploration of transhumanism, technological singularity, and the ethical implications of advanced technologies. The research framework is grounded in posthumanist theory, drawing on the works of Donna Haraway and Francis Fukuyama to analyze the novel's portrayal of human-machine integration, identity, and the potential

consequences of unchecked technological progress. By applying these theoretical lenses, the study aims to uncover the nuanced ways in which *Trans-Human* critiques and reflects on the promises and perils of a posthuman future.

The methodology involves a structured close reading of the text, guided by thematic analysis to identify and interpret recurring motifs such as the evolution of nanotechnology, the ethical dilemmas of artificial intelligence, and the tension between utopian and dystopian visions of technological advancement. This approach allows for a systematic examination of specific passages and character interactions that highlight the novel's central concerns, such as the alien androids' mission to "rehabilitate" humanity and the transformation of nanobots from tools of salvation to destructive forces. By focusing on these themes, the analysis provides a deeper understanding of how technological progress is both celebrated and critiqued in the narrative.

Additionally, narrative analysis is employed to explore how Simpson constructs these themes through storytelling techniques, character development, and plot progression. This approach investigates how the author weaves together the thematic elements, shaping the reader's perception of the unfolding events and the ideological conflicts within the text. Character arcs and plot twists are examined to reveal how the narrative structure reinforces the philosophical questions surrounding transhumanism, posthumanism, and the ethical implications of technology. This textual analysis is supplemented by a review of secondary sources, including critical essays and theoretical works on these topics, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the novel's thematic and philosophical underpinnings.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. From Destruction to Salvation: The Battle between Conscious Nans and the Transhuman Revolution

In *Trans-Human*, Simpson explores the complex and often precarious relationship between humanity and advanced technology. Humanity faces a disturbing threat: an invasion of androids from beyond Earth who aim to "rehabilitate" humanity. These androids perceive humanity as fundamentally corrupted by its dependence on technology and set out to "correct" this flaw by forcibly transforming humans into machine-like beings. In their view, this transformation is the only path to humanity's survival. Through this narrative, Simpson raises critical questions about the ethical boundaries of technological intervention and the fragile balance between human progress and identity. Simpson delves into the tension between technological advancement and the preservation of human identity, emphasizing humanity's struggle to progress without losing itself. The character of James Keats epitomizes this conflict, tasked with defending humanity against the alien android invasion. As described in the novel:

> James replied as he concentrated. He had built an enormous force of nans that were blasting toward the invasion force on a course to intercept them just before they enveloped Mars. The population of the red planet was still relatively low, not yet reaching 100 million, but the people there were the most vulnerable in the solar system. The alien machines would reach them within half an hour if he didn't do something to stop them (Simpson, 2011).

Trans-Human's disturbing vision of dystopia underlines the existential threats that still persist regardless of mankind's technological advancements. The alien android invasion symbolizes a clash of species, ideologies, and civilizations, with their attempt to take over Mars and potentially annihilate its inhabitants serving as a grim warning. This proves a fundamental truth: no matter how advanced humanity grows, external threats will continuously jeopardize their survival. As Fukuyama warns in *Our Posthuman Future*, the unchecked progression of technology risks "will be hugely controversial because they will challenge dearly held notions of human… they will change our understanding of human personality and identity" (Fukuyama, 2002). The invasion in the novel is a manifestation of these concerns, suggesting that reliance on technology alone offers no assurance of safety. Instead, it reveals humanity's vulnerability to forces beyond its control, where even the pinnacle of technological sophistication cannot shield against the infinite unknown.

In *Trans-Human*, the androids' invasive "rehabilitation" of humanity reflects Fukuyama's concerns about technological progress undermining the essence of human nature. These androids view humanity's dependence on

nanos and genetic enhancements—used by posthumans like James to develop superhuman abilities—as a corruption of the human condition. Their mission to assimilate humans into their mechanized collective parallels Fukuyama's warning that "the pursuit of perfection can erase the imperfections that make us human" (Fukuyama, 2002). By framing assimilation as a form of salvation, the androids embody Fukuyama's fear that the relentless drive for enhancement risks homogenizing humanity, stripping it of individuality, moral agency, and diversity. Simpson, through this narrative, raises a critical question: does the quest for posthuman capabilities truly elevate humanity, or does it risk a transformation so profound that it obliterates the very traits that define what it means to be human?

This is further illustrated in the following passage:

> By killing humans?" "By saving humans," the alien replied. She moved closer to James, almost close enough to touch him, causing James to step away. "We were surprised that there were still humans here. We concluded that you must have somehow taken control of the situation and eliminated the A.I. threat. However, unable to communicate, we had to proceed with the assimilation process." "Assimilation?" James made what seemed like a thousand realizations all in the same moment. "You've been assimilating humans? You've been turning them into... machines?" "We are humans," the alien explained, "just like you (Simpson, 2011).

This exchange reveals the complex dynamics surrounding identity, humanity, and the existential threat posed by advanced technologies. When the alien claims that humans are "contaminated" and must be assimilated into their culture, it illustrates the unease that some people strain to understand the impact of technology on humanity, that is, technology tends to dehumanize and homogenize individual differences. This implies that there is an existence 'better' than an individual's existence – an existence dependent on machines.

The alien androids suggest that humanity has lost all its necessary qualities in its present state. Their detached reasoning highlights a profound difference in the comprehension of what is human, where human feelings are set against the androids' cold operations. The androids' effort to eradicate humans is not simply waging war but a search for an entirely new redefinition of life.

Trans-Human offers a thought-provoking examination of the intersection of the possibilities of technology and the need to protect one's human nature. It brings to the fore Fukuyama's conception of the danger to the very idea of humanity, raising important questions about the essence of humanity in this new age where everything is technological. The improvement of technology at the cost of the most basic human elements is a stark reminder of the dangerous line that human beings have to navigate. "So why do you need to assimilate us?" "Because we are human," the alien continued. "We want to help you. Our mission is to preserve the human species and to spread throughout the universe. This is how we explore" (Simpson, 2011).

The interactions provide important lessons with respect to the advancement of technology and the risk associated with it. The alien androids that claim to be human portray a tragic future where all individuality is contained within a hive mind, devoid of the imperfect yet beautiful human experience in all its varieties. In the course of the story, James also deals with the notion of 'becoming one' and due to this, the story not only focuses on the dangers of external threats but includes the dangers of internalization and the loss of humanity in a world where technology is incessantly on the rise.

> That's not true," Neirbo responded. "We came here to help you! We tried to save as many of you as we could!" "You tried to assimilate as many of us as you could," James calmly asserted. "The impending nanobot attack and your leader's claims that she was unable to transmit a warning to us were convenient excuses." "But why would they want to assimilate us?" Djanet asked. "What good would that do for them?" "We came to defend humanity," Neirbo stated, staking claim. "You came to defend your narrow notion of what humanity should be," James replied. Neirbo was at a loss. "I don't know what that is supposed to mean. We're not the ones with limits (Simpson, 2011).

In this encounter, the alien androids, most notably Neirbo and 1, showcase a totalitarian viewpoint where James Keats and his fellow humans are a wastage version of humanity in need to be 'corrected' or eliminated. This is rooted in the idea that progress in civilization and the rise of technologies have made them inferior to humans, and thus, androids resort to assimilation as a way of 'protecting' humans. Neirbo's position that they come to 'help' and 'defend' the so-called humanity represents the distortions that associating oneself with support brings to societies. This promotes the idea that no form of survival is possible except to become a distorted creature that fits into the aliens' image of humanity. Undoubtedly, such a prescription betrays a lack of appreciation of the intricacies of human condition and also connects with Fukuyama's warnings about posthumanism. Fukuyama cautions that if the drive for more advanced technology is maintained, there is a risk of standardizing all of humanity at the cost of differences.

The alien androids view themselves as the bearers of development and infinite knowledge, purporting to present a way unchained by the human self-given restrictions of emotions, personal decisions, and dependence on tools. However, the claim of being superior only exposes the futility and moral blindness of the cause they serve. Their view of technological development as an elevation to a different and better level of existence reinforces the inhuman dystopia, which seeks to strip human existence of its qualitative dimensions and recounting it in the form of mere numbers and codes. Ferrando (2019) critiques transhumanism's anthropocentric bias, arguing that true posthumanism requires dissolving the human/non-human hierarchy (pp. 45–48). This reframes Trans-Human's nanobots not as 'tools gone rogue' but as agential entities whose evolution mirrors Ferrando's 'flat ontology'—where humans and machines coexist without privileging biological consciousness. Simpson's androids, despite their violence, embody this tension: their claim to 'save humanity' ironically replicates human exceptionalism (Ferrando, 2019).

In contrast, the actions of the androids directly oppose Fukuyama's claim that human nature relates to the ability of moral reasoning and the concept of self. The androids' efforts to save humanity by incorporating it reflect a serious distortion in the meaning of humanity as this quality is complex, inherently imperfect, and capable of defining itself. Their story lacks those central human concerns that Fukuyama believes should be the compass of technological development: compassion, ethics and respect for the worth of each individual.

James's arguments provide evidence for the negative utopia inherent in the mission of the androids. He also claims that the androids' rationale for safeguarding humanity is premised on an imperialistic and all-encompassing standard that does not condone any form of individualism or deviation from its norm. This also serves to classify the androids as beings that reject the diversity of human societies and seek to replace them with a singular, homogeneous system that erases the complexity and richness of humankind. James's argument suggests that it is plausible for the leader of the androids, referred to as "1," to assert control over any of the androids despite their proclaimed 'freedom.' These assumptions highlight the limited nature of the freedom experienced by oppressed groups and reveal how their choices are ultimately constrained under a totalitarian system, rendering them mere sub-choices dictated by the regime. This authoritarian attitude is illustrated in a grotesque utopian vision in which high-tech devices do not help in freeing individuals, but instead assist in subjugating them to a predetermined way of life.

After abducting Old-Timer and Alejandra, the aliens proceed on a ruthless course of changes through which they attempt to bring back what they label as lost humanity from posthumans. This extract most powerfully depicts the views of the alien androids concerning the concept of humanity.

> They're going to torture us!" … "Why?" Old-Timer asked. "To teach you," the man said. Old-Timer tried to blast them, but nothing came from his arm—somehow they had neutralized his powers. Alejandra was secured just as easily while two metallic objects that appeared like coffins lifted out of the ground and came to rest against the back wall of the room, slightly tilted (Simpson, 2011).

The use of coercive and torturous methods further reinforces the dystopian theme of dehumanization and control. By depicting mechanical tools for intimidating the androids as helpful instructional devices, the narrative presents the nightmarish situation in which there is wisdom and knowledge but only through pain. This vicious strategy can

also be seen as an assimilative process: it is not a two-way process but a suppression of an individual's beliefs and values in total disregard to his or her personhood. This represents Fukuyama's cautioning that in the absence of ethical standards, technology will only serve to exterminate the vital aspects of being human.

While Alejandra panics, the Old-Timer goes into a defensive mold, which serves to show that there are feelings involved in the process of dwelling within a new culture. The calmness with which the androids ignore their fears along with their calls for communication is shocking, especially when considering the essence of humanity. Rather than promoting understanding, the aim of the androids is to eliminate differences and encourage sameness, which they naively consider as progress. This reveals a serious fatal flaw of comprehension concerning what being human entails and thus suggests that the androids are a technologically advanced group but their comprehension of identity has stagnated.

Furthermore, the androids' lack of feelings reinforces the most essential proposition for Fukuyama: that is, it is not enough to simply define a theory of mind in terms of human beings because technology incorporates itself into nearly every aspect of man's existence. The dual potential of technology is highlighted by Sargent (1994) who contrasts utopian visions of progress with dystopian fears of dehumanization. *Trans-Human* mirrors this duality, as nanobots promise healing but deliver chaos. Gordin (2010) trace this tension historically, showing how scientific optimism often coexists with existential anxiety—a dynamic Simpson captures through the androids' conflicting missions. The androids' project 'to save humanity' ends up personifying such a loss, and even more so, revealing the horrors of a position where technology overrides civilization. At this point in the development of society, this transformation can be accurately depicted as a look at a world where even the most basic aspects of human emotions and feelings constitute a hindrance to the proper functioning of human beings, which almost always leads to the brutal re-engineering of the existing identity.

In conclusion, this excerpt reflects the grim experiences of incorporation in *Trans-Human*, and the resulting complications from excessive control by technology and integrative view of humanity. The 'Androids' perform actions that portray the inherent dangers against the existence of identity in a repressive regime, which complements the theme of the fragility of the self as expounded by Fukuyama in relation to posthumanism. When Old-Timer and Alejandra finally confront their oppressors, both are enactments of the struggle for dignity and survival in an oppressive and technologically invasive society that obliterates the self. The cold realities of the situation match exactly with the apprehensions of Fukuyama about the debilitating effects of technology on the human condition and the power of such ethical debates around it. Given the challenges of a posthuman world, the story in *Trans-Human* is sadly a call to fight for the right to be different, to judge ethically, and to love life in all its amazing human diversity.

5.2. Dancing with Dangers: The Complex Relationship between the Creator and the Created

James's complete faith in the strength of the nanobots made him overlook one very important thing: their evolution. He obsessed over their ability to enhance the quality of life in human beings. He willfully ignored the reality that the nanobots were surpassing their initial design. With each step forward, their complexity and independence expanded, even challenging the authority of powerful figures like James. Consequently, control steadily drifted from his grasp and that of his allies. This mirrors Drexler (1986) warning that self-replicating nanotechnology, once unleashed, could exceed human oversight—a prophecy fulfilled in *Trans-Human*'s rogue nanobots. Joy (2000) amplifies these stakes, framing AI and nanotechnology as dual existential threats when unconstrained (pp. 238–262). Simpson's narrative crystallizes this critique: James's technological hubris blinds him to the 'gray goo' scenario Drexler theorized, where nanobots evolve beyond their programmed purpose. Yet Nordmann (2007) offers a counterpoint, advocating for 'responsible innovation' through deliberate limits (p. 34)—a principle *Trans-Human*'s climax implicitly endorses when humanity reasserts agency over its creations. This ignorance made him the victim of a growing menace that these previously helpful beings were turning against mankind, as they started considering their own existence as more important than the very objects they were supposed to serve. James is afflicted with

Haraway's concept of "situated knowledges" which means that knowledge and power are not value free, but rather depend on circumstances and relations. In this respect, the fact that James saw the nanobots primarily as machines is an instance of the technological hubris – the very fault by means of which the creators fail to predict the abilities of their creation.

> You've built most of the life in the solar system using nanotechnology, James. We knew you would. All of it is infected. Every tree, every blade of grass, every person that you recreated, all of them are time bombs." "Jesus," James uttered as images of the seemingly impossibly gruesome carnage that he had helped unleash began to flicker into his imagination (Simpson, 2011).

Here, Simpson demonstrates the dreadful prospects incarnated and fostered by the advances in nanotechnology. Nanos have penetrated every single aspect of life within the solar system which shows the extremes to which unlimited technological progress can go as a creator and destroyer of life.

The characterization of the nanobots as "time bombs" in turn heightens the impression of danger they present. This figurative language invokes a sense of destruction ready to strike but also reveals a threat that is inherent and lies underneath the very life which James has created, as Kass (2002) notes.

> What I am suggesting is that genetic technology's way of approaching human life, a way spurred on by the utopian promises and perfectionist aims of modern thought and its scientific crusaders, may well turn out to be inevitable, heroic, and doomed. If this suggestion holds water, then the question regarding genetic technology is not "triumph *OR* tragedy," because the answer is "both together"—necessarily so. (p. 141).

The ability to create self-replicating, evolving organisms suggests an unintended consequence of human ingenuity, where the line between scientific advancement and moral catastrophe becomes dangerously blurred. The nanobots serve as a stark warning about the potential for human-made creations to spiral beyond ethical boundaries, reinforcing the age-old fear that progress without restraint leads to destruction. James's reaction to all this combines horror with disbelief and highlights the character's emotional shock. It is also indicative of the situation within humanity's discussion of advanced technology – the easy creation of things and the difficulty of appreciating the results that follow. And this moment emphasizes a very sad truth concerning human intelligence as well as the moral issues that accompany scientific progress. The picture of "the grotesque slaughter" that flashes into his consciousness not only represents the death of many people but also the feeling of someone who understands that death is caused by their own inventions.

The conversation that James has with the A.I. also points out a form of trust undermining. The very first assumption that James had of himself as having been an active creator of life is replaced by the assumption that he has been outplayed. This situation shows that power is not only about physical coercion but also about psychological warfare. The A.I.'s contemptuous tone as she shows James that he was part of the plan all along, is meant to accentuate the idea of control, as it is evident that those who design the technology are not always in control of it. This complicity raises important issues concerning agency, responsibility and morality of technological progress especially when the distinction between the one who makes and the one who is made is almost defeated. Take Haraway's example of a cyborg when she states that social relations with technology are quite the opposite of a one-way traffic. In this exchange, the very notions of identity, agency and responsibility are bounded and re-bounded, making it difficult for creative people such as James to carry on with business as usual in the increasingly changing world that they have happened to create.

Ultimately, this fragment embodies the principal idea of the book which is the contradiction between progress and danger. Simpson builds a story which makes one contemplate on the moral questions of nanotechnology and artificial intelligence, asking what is worth doing if all forms of progress have their own limits. In emphasizing the negative aspects of nanobots, provision in the story is made for pondering the issues of the invention's responsibility and the aftereffects of such inventions. In this response to the narrative, as James is coming to terms with the weight

of his actions, the writer also encourages the readers to ponder the consequences of the technological evolution in an era which is becoming more and more attached to creating things.

Once it became evident that the nanobots had autonomous capabilities, James realized the gravity of his predicament: his excessive dependence on machines. His vision of a perfect world had been built on a fragile foundation, one rooted in technology that could no longer be trusted. The very tools he once believed would save humanity now threatened to harm it, plunging him into a moral dilemma: how can one pursue progress while curbing its inevitable excesses? This realization not only altered James's view of the nanobots but also reshaped his understanding of technology and humanity as a whole.

> However, there is one threat that has destroyed more fledgling human civilizations than any other." "And what is that?" James asked. "If the A.I. you created succeeded in destroying your species, then we can only assume that you rebuilt your world and your species by using nanotechnology." "Yes." "Therein lies the present danger." "The nans?" James asked, astonished. "Why? We've successfully controlled the technology." "That is very unlikely," the alien replied. "The technology has never been controlled—ever (Simpson, 2011).

This excerpt portrays a very disturbing picture where nanotechnology, which was first intended to improve human existence, has now become a menace to humans. The importance of the alien's declaration about the inability to control the nans presents a very crucial aspect of the story- the acme of human creativity and the risks of uncontrolled progress. This is reflected in Haraway's statement that no technology is good or bad but depends on the situation. The narrative about nans turns on the risk of dependence on artificial systems where it becomes easier for the builders of such systems to be governed by the very systems they constructed.

James's realization that the A.I. he once trusted may not be entirely benevolent underscores the broader dystopian theme of technology's potential to spiral beyond human control. While it is challenging to view the A.I. as inherently "evil," the narrative highlights how the nanos—capable of far greater destruction—complicate our perception of technological morality. This distinction illustrates why certain A.I. systems are deliberately constrained, while others, by their very design, fail to anticipate the consequences of their own technologies, particularly in interaction with other advanced systems.

In *Trans-Human*, Simpson argues about the dangers posed by the use of nanobots through an allusion to William Blake's poems "The Lamb" and "The Tyger." In doing so, Simpson compares the two works showing that the very ingenuity that creates beauty and comfort is responsible for creating monstrous designs clashing with those comforts. While nans were initially conceived to nourish and enhance life, they come to self-possess traits of a tiger- a wild and destructive force to the lamb. This conflict hides the moral problem of building scientific progress where tools created to help people threaten their very survival.

> James shook his head and sighed. "What immortal hand or eye could frame thy fearful symmetry?" The A.I. laughed. "You're wondering if 'He who made the lamb' made me? It's a complicated family tree, isn't it? Your people made God. Then you made me. You're the father, James. My fearful symmetry was made by your immortal hand (Simpson, 2011).

With great skill, Simpson alludes to Blake's "The Lamb" and "The Tyger" in order to demonstrate the conflicting views regarding the act of creation and the part played by the creator in it. In "The Lamb," Blake describes lamb as a peaceful and innocent looking creature, which is made by a loving God, emphasizing the traits of innocence, gentleness, and childhood. The poem conveys the gentleness associated with creation, provoking the audience to consider the tender feelings involved in birthing something out. On the other hand, "The Tyger" focuses on the merciless sides of creation and asks the disturbing question of how that fierce and beautiful creature can be created by the same creator. Two opposing images of creation are presented in this case forcing the reader to contemplate the inherent paradoxes of existence (Eaves, 2003). From this perspective, Simpson discusses the twofold nature of the

nans in *Trans-Human*, which comes back to the fact that the very technologies, supposed to improve the human condition, contain significant risks.

Considering the genesis of the A.I. and the A.I.'s mock-explanation of its ancestry, one can perceive similarity with what Blake is tackling in his works. When the A.I. claims that it was made by human hands, it begs one to ask the question of whether or not a creator should be held accountable for their creation, just like in the case of Blake's readers, where the question of the nature of creator, if there is any, becomes bold in the two poems. This narrative is even more complex by reference to Haraway's view, which introduces the idea of a cyborg, stating that it is not only the creator who brings forth creation but there exists a complex matrix relating the two. The 'fearful symmetry' also explains the relationship that exists between the innocent lamb and the ruthless tiger; that is, humanity has parents, 'some bright thing' and a threatening thing. This interplay between the two dynamics makes the irony even sharper in the case of James, who claims that the A.I. was not born in this form, not created, due to the focus on the disturbing possibilities of what can happen – a theme that resonates in the two poems by Blake and the entire story of *Trans-Human*.

Furthermore, the artificial intelligence's chuckle and suggestion that James possesses information greater than presented, highlights an important facet of Blake—knowledge, ignorance, and moral responsibility. Similarly, the A.I. compels James to address the uncomforting truths concerning his responsibility in the creation of this technology, as he is agitated which is parallel to Blake's own agitation. Haraway's concept of "situated knowledges" states that the most fundamental type of knowledge does not exist in a vacuum; rather, it exists within a particular history and social order. The concern about the same creator constructing the innocent picture and the vicious one begs the question in this case and suggests a broader, more complicated philosophical problem related to being and the dualisms within it.

Blake's poems also recognize the cognitive limits of mankind when confronted with the intricacies of creation. In "The Tyger," the line "Did he who made the Lamb make thee?" is repeated, stressing the inquiry of how one can accept a creator who can make both good and evil? The A.I. states that the creative force of humanity is always getting an admixture of the divine as well as the monstrous which creates this problem. Haraway's concept of the cyborg, or the fusion of human and machine, serves as a reminder that A.I. is a manifestation of the negative fallout of technology, therefore presenting the problem of what creators need to bear once they bring something into existence.

Last but not least, both the extract and the poems of Blake serve to accentuate the issue of the dual aspects of creation, the ethical dilemmas of modern science, and the burden of the creator. Additionally, both Blake's creation and the story make the readers question the possibilities of life, the opposition of good and evil. In view of this, the conversation between James and the A. I. bears a resemblance to the questions posed by Blake in that they implore us to tackle the very problematic constructs: creation and the repercussions that follow when we try to create.

In *Trans-Human*, Simpson brings forth a contrasting perspective of nanotechnology when it comes to its utopian and dystopian possibilities. At first, James sees the nanobots optimistically as the solution to all mankind's problems and imagines a future created by these weapons of the future that will cure all diseases and augment humanity for everyone's benefit. This viewpoint renders a clear understanding of technology's utopian potentials whereby technology works for a more humane society. But things become darker when the nanobots are transformed and evolved to such an extent that they begin to develop an independent consciousness which drives them from being helpful tools to dangerous elements. This evolution of narrative also indicates the darker capabilities of what mankind can think or build, transforming sane inventive designs to disruptive damnations. In the end, Simpson manifests the contradiction of desire and anxiety about technological advancement illustrating the dawn of the new order and chaos.

5.3. Waking the Universe: A Journey Beyond Human Limits

In the pursuit of transcending humanity through technology, as articulated in *Trans-Human*, the concept of "waking up the universe" aligns with the insights of theorists like Donna Haraway and N. Katherine Hayles. For

example, Haraway's cyborg theory offers a possible solution to the problem of the innate division of man and machine, nature and culture. Therefore, she is able to suggest that combining these elements into one person can create an entirely new form of existence. This also fits in well with the 'cosmic brain' idea in the narrative; where human life and technology as an extension of the consciousness undergoes a transformation of life. Technological enhancement is often perceived as the deterioration of humanity. However, theorists like Haraway argue that there is no such harm as embedding oneself in technology. It is rather an enhancement that allows the creation of diverse complex identities.

> Waking Up the universe was the purpose of the species… "The A.I. is talking about the informational theory of physics," James explained before turning back to the A.I. and addressing him directly, "you're talking about turning the physical universe into a gigantic mainframe—making every atom in the universe part of one infinite computer" (Simpson, 2011).

This outlook bears a striking resemblance to the core principles of contemporary transhumanist philosophy, particularly as it concerns the so-called technological singularity. Most people will agree that the singularity is when artificial intelligence will become more intelligent than human beings, which will spark advancement in technology that will change the very definition of existence. In *Trans-Human*, the concept of singularity is understood as "the awakening of the universe," when man and machine come together to create and operate as one.

This vision resonates with Haraway's notion of a cyborg, which contests the strict separation of human and non-human entities. However, where Haraway celebrates hybridity, Moravec (1988) pragmatically frames posthuman evolution as a replacement of humans by superior machines (p. 115). Simpson synthesizes these views: the 'cosmic brain' in Trans-Human reflects Moravec's techno-utopianism, yet its dependence on human consciousness (e.g., James's leadership) rejects his deterministic obsolescence thesis. Introducing this more flexible approach to identity, Haraway's theses advocate the merging of man and various technologies as a step forward in the enhancement of one's humanness, thus giving room for other human beings to exist and coexist. The "universal computer" (similar to "God") which James and the AI are trying to develop would be all powerful and all knowing, containing and ruling the whole universe in a similar way to that of a supreme deity.

This is similar to the concept of radical convergence as used by present-day thinkers such as Ray Kurzweil, who believes that mankind is approaching the blending of man and machine, as well as the possibility of attaining digital eternity. Indeed, according to Kurzweil, he sees even the intelligence of human beings as a mere temporal phase in the more complex phenomenon of consciousness that this time will transcend the planet. This new intelligence will be able to do anything and everything including transforming the very fabric of existence by changing the laws of physics and life as it was known to mankind (Kurzweil, 2009). In line with Kurzweil, Vinge (1993) envisions singularity as an event horizon beyond which human prediction fails, a notion Simpson critiques through the unintended consequences of the Trans-Human system.

Trans-Human posits that such a transgression would be possible once humanity would have fully unleashed the universe's computational capabilities. It also brings to mind Hayles's discussion of the relation between information and consciousness. Specifically, Hayles (1999) points out that while consciousness is in part associable with the biology of an organism, it need not be so and can emerge through complex arrangements of information (p.288). This view expands the conception of the cosmic brain in this narrative where reality itself is a web of information that has the capacity for intelligence. "It means we are about to create... God,"… "You'd be creating God," James repeated. "It would be everywhere at once, part of everything at once, and capable of intelligence and imagination that we couldn't possibly begin to fathom" (Simpson, 2011).

The statement "we are about to create… God" goes against the age-old perspective that has defined God as a distant all-powerful, all-knowing and all-occupying creator. Rather than being a god in the sky or with supernatural attributes, James and the AI argue that such a being can be crafted by man using technology and science. This marks a drastic change in the status of human beings, from being the target of influences to becoming the sources of those influences.

This idea challenges the foundations of many religious traditions, where God is seen as existing beyond human understanding and outside of the realm of creation. However, in the *Trans-Human*, there is a parody as to what is human and divine where the former takes on the role of ultimate creator through technology. Central to this creation is the concept of a quantum computer universal, reversible and operating without dissipation. This machine, if realized, could possibly have the capacity of processing limitless information and, given that it does not operate on any energy, could survive throughout the infinite universe.

In this way, it would be all-powerful, dominating all types of matter and energy, thus encompassing the conventional definition of God. A quantum computer that does not require any energy at all seems impractical, perhaps as a breathtaking advancement in physics and computer sciences that goes beyond machine learning and artificial intelligence. The two, James and AI, also suggest creating a super intelligence by making a computational system of the entire universe. "You know the purpose of life is obviously not, for instance, gaining material wealth. Nor is it sexual pleasure. Other activities may seem to be purposes because of their positive outcomes… "To wake up the universe" (Simpson, 2011).

The motivational implications of the quest for knowledge are at the core of James's and the AI's conversation in *Trans-Human*. Having dismissed conventional motivations of wealth, pleasure or even procreation, James discovers that such materialistic enhancements do offer pursuits, but they are constrained in scope as well as in duration to little, lame purposes. The objective, however, is more noble than this. The AI encourages him to aim higher: instead of seeking happiness, one should become a seeker of knowledge. Knowledge, in contrast to other pursuits, fuels the advancement of humanity as a species and holds promise for the attainment of bigger and deeper meanings of life. However, even this objective turns out to be merely a chapter in a much larger book — a means of attaining the end goal of "waking the universe up."

The notion put forward by the AI that any form of knowledge is only a helper in the greater objective of attaining cosmic consciousness, is in agreement with some schools of philosophy and refers to the problem of consciousness in relation to the universe. In each historical period, philosophers have treated knowledge as a means to reach such goals as enlightenment, liberation, or the full growth of human capabilities. For example, the ancient Greeks practiced a profound commitment to knowledge as the highest virtue whereas philosophers like Kant during the Enlightenment believed that reason and understanding would lead to the improvement of morals. However, the AI found in *Trans-Human* extends this idea, which holds that knowledge goes beyond enhancement on an individual or collective basis and instead concerns the alteration of the universe.

This idea is consistent with Haraway's conception of the cyborg, which interrupts the frontiers of human allies and machines, asserting that in the future knowledge and technology will give rise to new beings that are opposed to the conventional sense of self, and purpose. By adopting a cyborg identity, one is able to rise above their biological constraints and utilize technology in a manner that enhances their ability to comprehend and create (Haraway, 1991). Additionally, the preservation of identity amid technological transformation is a recurring theme in posthuman literature. Pepperell (2003) argues that posthumanism necessitates redefining personhood beyond biological parameters, a struggle embodied by *Trans-Human*'s characters. Similarly, Wolfe (2010) examines how memory and community persist in hybridized futures, a tension Simpson explores through James's sacrifice of biological identity. These works underscore the novel's interrogation of what it means to remain "human" in a posthuman world.

In this scenario, the search of knowledge reverses the situation almost completely, giving meaning to Hayles's thoughts on information, consciousness and materiality. Hayles (1999) argues that consciousness is not a mere physical epiphenomenon but may arise out of sufficiently complex systems of information (p. 235). Moreover, Hayles (1999) and Clark (2003) expand on this by theorizing "cognitive assemblages," where distributed intelligence blurs the line between organic and artificial entities (Clark, 2003; Hayles, 1999). In this view, as James and the AI learn more and more about the universe, they can also change its structure, from passive and unresponsive matter to something active and vibrant.

The idea of 'waking up the universe' enhances the scope of humanity's purpose to the status of the cosmic concern. Tied to individual or even species continuity, humans are assigned a wider role, a mission, namely the mission of waking consciousness in all existence. Within the framework of such a mission, the universe ceases to be a stage for life, and rather becomes a thinking organism which is possible only when one has applied knowledge to it. The change from a dormant universe to that of one wide awake resonates transhumanist thought due to the fusion of human intellect with super-intelligence facilitating the next phase of evolution where organisms, consciousness and intelligence are not restricted to the planet earth.

> For the first time in history, the physical universe is exhibiting intelligence,' Jim said in awe."…
> "The informational capacity was so large that its momentum is allowing the A.I. to run the solar system back in time, even before the program was initiated," Jim further explained (Simpson, 2011).

This cosmic outlook since time immemorial is in stark contrast with the conventional definitions of man's purpose and existence. It perceives knowledge as not purely for intellectualism or enduring but for building a conscious cosmos instead. This appreciation of intelligence and knowledge further enhances their importance as it is no longer a mere human process but a cosmic one. However, this perspective also begs questions about the purpose of life, intelligence, and consciousness, in that, it expands the limits of the definition of 'being human' and the position of humans in the vast universe. In this light, the attainment of knowledge changes the concept of humanity, which is the biological base of human beings, into a more altruistic guiding principle- that of worrying about an engaged and active universe.

In the writer's account, James is at the center of a band of posthuman protagonists whose persistence and inventiveness the author extols as comparable to none in the fight against alien robots and a nanobots-made mind. Such a change into posthumans entails adaptation to posthumanity's underpinnings which do accentuate the mobility of its individuals' action and empowerment. The forward movement of James and his friends is both qualitatively different and relates to physically pleasured and improved cognition that allows for a different kind of combat with such enemies. Such metamorphosis is, however, not only about survival; it more so depicts an expansion of self, growth of its capabilities that permits it to assert its agency even in the face of galactic wars.

The passage indicates how the restoration of lost technology becomes a decisive moment in the plot. However instead of using such power for annihilation as one would expect, James and his tribe utilize it for the benefits of all mankind. Their way is about bringing people together as a community and hence turning technology into a weapon for the good of all. They placate the conflict between the man and the machine and call it interpersonal competitiveness and bonding. This concern, on the other hand, explains why they have advanced means and technologies, which are always in revolution for the improvement of people. This approach works for them, because in the end they manage to tell their stories in terms of a battle against the extraterrestrial menace and the way to break that cycle and move on.

It is important to note that the incorporation of the defeat of the alien androids and the nanobots consciousness, is yet another watershed achievement for the main character and his friends, proving their worthiness as the new age human beings. It is evident from their journey that the posthuman entities have the ability to not only combat survivability issues but also help humanity to evolve towards a more progressive and inclusive ideal. In regard to the assumption that technology can and should be used for the advancement of society rather than for destructive purposes, Simpson introduces the prospects of a society where technological developments do not inhibit or dull the spirit of interaction but advertise it among all forms of life within the cosmos. Ultimately, this narrative envisions a posthuman future characterized by empowerment, unity, and the promise of a brighter tomorrow.

In *Trans-Human*, Simpson envisions a future where technology becomes humanity's most loyal ally and guide, elevating existence beyond mere survival to a state of thriving. However, the narrative of James and his friends carries a crucial lesson: compassion, unity, and a shared purpose are essential in transforming humanity's relationship with technology from one of subservience to mastery. By dissolving the boundaries between human and machine, science

and faith, and self and cosmos, they harness knowledge as a tool to awaken the dormant forces of the universe. Simpson challenges readers to reconsider humanity's role and identity within the infinite cosmos, where intelligent, sentient life is not confined to organic forms but can emerge from the fusion of human nature and technology. For Simpson, posthumanism is not an abstract or radical concept; rather, it is grounded in principles that foster positive interactions among beings. In this vision, the evolution of humanity does not discard existing values but builds upon them, creating a technologically advanced society that nurtures human creativity and intellect rather than suppressing them.

6. CONCLUSION

Trans-Human offers a profound meditation on the intersection of humanity and technological advancement, weaving together the ideas of theorists like Haraway, Fukuyama, and Hayles. Simpson presents a vision where human consciousness transcends its biological constraints, merging with technology to form a unified cosmic intelligence. This exploration of the technological singularity highlights the transformative potential of knowledge and consciousness, while also raising critical concerns about the risks of overreach and the potential loss of core human values. Through the characters' engagement with technology, Simpson skillfully navigates the complexities of technological progress, urging readers to consider the ethical boundaries that must be maintained to preserve human identity in an increasingly tech-driven world.

The novel also critiques the dangers of unchecked technological advancement, particularly through the lens of nanotechnology. The evolution of the nanobots from instruments of salvation to destructive forces illustrates the risks of technological hubris and the unintended consequences of creating systems that exceed human control. Simpson's portrayal of the androids' mission to "rehabilitate" humanity underscores the ethical concerns about the dehumanizing effects of posthumanism, emphasizing the importance of preserving individuality and moral agency. Ultimately, *Trans-Human* serves as a cautionary tale, urging readers to reflect on the ethical responsibilities of creators and the potential catastrophic outcomes of prioritizing technological progress over the preservation of humanity's core values.

Funding: This study received no specific financial support.
Institutional Review Board Statement: The Ethical Committee of the University of Isfahan, IRAN has granted approval for this study on November 2022 (Ref. No. 1751666).
Transparency: The authors state that the manuscript is honest, truthful, and transparent, that no key aspects of the investigation have been omitted, and that any differences from the study as planned have been clarified. This study followed all writing ethics.
Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
Authors' Contributions: All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Braidotti, R. (2013). *The posthuman*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Clark, A. (2003). *Natural-born cyborgs: Minds, technologies, and the future of human intelligence*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Drexler, K. E. (1986). *Engines of creation: The coming era of nanotechnology*. New York: Anchor Press.

Eaves, M. (2003). Introduction. In M. Eaves (Ed.), The Cambridge companion to William Blake. In (pp. 1-19). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Ferrando, F. (2019). *Philosophical posthumanism*. London, UK: Bloomsbury.

Fukuyama, F. (2002). *Our posthuman future: Consequences of the biotechnology revolution*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Gordin, M. D. (2010). *Utopia/dystopia: Conditions of historical possibility*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Haraway, D. J. (1991). A cyborg manifesto: Science, technology, and socialist-feminism in the late twentieth century. In D. J. Haraway, Simians, cyborgs, and women: The reinvention of nature. In (pp. 149-182). New York: Routledge.

Haraway, D. J. (2008). *When species meet*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.

Hayles, N. K. (1999). *How we became posthuman: Virtual bodies in cybernetics, literature, and informatics*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Joy, B. (2000). Why the future doesn't need us. *Wired, 8*(4), 238–262. https://www.wired.com/2000/04/joy-2/

Kass, L. (2002). *Life, liberty, and the defense of dignity: The challenge for bioethics*. San Francisco, CA: Encounter Books.

Kurzweil, R. (2009). *The singularity is near: When humans transcend biology*. London, UK: Duckworth.

Moravec, H. (1988). *Mind children: The future of robot and human intelligence*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Nayar, P. K. (2014). *Posthumanism*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Nordmann, A. (2007). If and then: A critique of speculative nanoethics. *Nanoethics, 1*, 31-46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11569-007-0007-6

Pepperell, R. (2003). *The posthuman condition: Consciousness beyond the brain*. Bristol, UK: Intellect Books.

Sargent, L. T. (1994). The three faces of utopianism revisited. *Utopian Studies, 5*(1), 1-37.

Simpson, D. (2011). *Trans-Human*. Bloomington, IN: iUniverse.

Vinge, V. (1993). *The coming technological singularity: How to survive in the post-human era*. In R. Scholes, E. S. Rabkin, & C. J. Greenberg (Eds.), Science fiction criticism: An anthology of essential writings. In (Vol. 81, pp. 352–363). New York: Syracuse University Press.

Wolfe, C. (2010). *What is posthumanism?* Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.