



## AMELIORATION OF ACQUISITION OF A DEGRADED SIGNAL IN A GNSS RECEIVER EMBEDDED IN AN OBSERVATION SATELLITE BY USING DOUBLE BLOC ZERO PADDING METHOD (DBZP)



Dib Djamel Eddine<sup>1\*</sup>  
Djebbouri Mohamed<sup>2</sup>  
Taleb Ahmed  
Abdelmalik<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Djillali Liabes University Sidi Bel Abbas, Algeria  
<sup>3</sup>Laboratory of automatics, Mechanical and Computer Science Valenciennes University France



(+ Corresponding author)

### ABSTRACT

#### Article History

Received: 2 May 2017  
Revised: 30 May 2017  
Accepted: 5 June 2017  
Published: 9 June 2017

#### Keywords

GPS  
Acquisition  
Correlation  
DBZP  
AWGN channel  
(C / A) code

In this paper, we study the acquisition of signal in a GPS (Global Positioning System) receiver on board of an observation satellite that receives information on a carrier wave L1 frequency 1575.42 MHz. We simulated GPS signal acquisition. This allowed us to see the behavior of this type of receiver in AWGN channel. Block Zero-Padding (DBZP) acquisition method, known for his efficiency, is deeply analyzed to highlight the acquisition of the modernized GNSS signals can be seriously degraded by the presence of bit sign transitions at each spreading code period. It appears clear that there is a need to use an acquisition method which is bit sign transition insensitive. To tackle this problem an improved and innovative acquisition method, the Double-Block Zero-Padding. Besides this major improvement, other developments are proposed to limit losses on the acquisition performance, in. This paper we will focus on the study of the acquisition of weak signals. The performance study and the results point out the efficiency of this method for the acquisition signals, in comparison with a classical acquisition method which is also data insensitive.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A GPS (Global Positioning System) is a geo-localization system. It includes three segments: Space, Control, and users. GPS signals are transmitted on one frequency, called L1, which contains the code acquisition called coarse (C / A), and the various navigation messages (L1 = 1575.42 MHz).

The satellite of observation belongs to the LEO satellites. Low Earth Orbit is defined as an orbit within a locus extending from the earth's surface up to an altitude of 2000 Km [1]. Attributing to their high speeds, data transmitted through LEO is handed off from one satellite to another as satellites generally move in and out of the range of earth-bound transmitting stations. Due to low orbits, transmitting stations are not as powerful as those that transmit to satellites orbiting at greater distances from earth's surface.

As LEO orbits are not geostationary, networks of satellites are required to provide continuous coverage. In our case the average altitude of observation satellite is 700km. Its period of rotation around the Earth is 101 minutes, than we can compute the velocity of the satellite of observation:

$$V_{ob} = R_{ob} \times d\theta/dt = 7330 \text{ m/s} \tag{1}$$

The period of a GPS satellite is 11h, 58min, 2.05s, as above we compute the velocity of the satellite GPS:

$$V_{gps} = R_{gps} \times d\theta/dt = 3874 \text{ m/s} \tag{2}$$

## 2. GNSS SIGNAL ACQUISITION PRINCIPLE

In GNSS receivers, the first stage of the signal processing is the acquisition. It consists in assessing the presence of GNSS signals, identifying all satellites visible to the user and giving a rough estimation of the incoming signal parameters.

## 3. CORRELATION OPERATION

As previously said the acquisition aims at deciding either the presence or the absence of the GNSS signal and provides a rough estimation of the code delay and Doppler frequency of the incoming signal. To do so, a replica is locally generated (depending on an estimation of the incoming code delay and Doppler frequency) and correlated with the incoming signal.

The correlation operation is the basic operation performed in the signal processing part of a GNSS software receiver and is dependent upon the GNSS signals properties, particularly the spreading code properties. Indeed, these codes have been carefully chosen to have very good pseudo-randomness properties. This means that they have properties that are close to those of a white noise (this is why they are called Pseudo-Random Noise (PRN) codes), when the spreading code is correlated with itself, the correlation function result is equal to 1 for a perfect alignment and close to being null for a misalignment or when two different spreading codes are correlated. Figure 1 shows the autocorrelation function between the local and incoming spreading code of the GPS L1 C/A spreading code number 2. The correlation operation is denoted  $R_{c1}$  and defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{c_1}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_{n=0}^{N_s-1} c_1(n)c_1(n-\tau) \text{ (discrete form)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{T_{c_1}} \int_0^{T_{c_1}} c_1(t)c_1(t-\tau)dt \text{ (continuous form)}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$




Figure-1. GPS L1 C/A autocorrelation function (PRN2)

Ward, et al. [2]




Figure-2. Block diagram of the correlation

Ward, et al. [2]

In a GNSS receiver, the correlation operation consists in correlating the received signal with a local replica of the carrier and spreading code of the received signal. To do so, the received signal is multiplied by a sinusoid and a local spreading code. The resulting signal is then integrated, generating the in-phase correlator output  $I$ . As illustrated in Figure 2, the same process is also performed with a shifted sinusoid of  $\pi/2$ . This provides the quadrature phase correlator output  $Q$ . The acquisition of GNSS signals described in literature is based on the evaluation and processing of the correlator outputs.

In our case the rapidity of acquisition have a essential factor in detection of signal GPS because the high velocity of observation satellite so we have to search a method which is fast ,and can detect the weak signals .

#### 4. DOUBLE-BLOCK ZERO-PADDING (DBZP) METHOD

Several acquisition methods have been developed that aim at accelerating the correlation process. In many of these, the search is parallelized based on the discrete Fourier transform, implemented using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithms. In this case, the complexity of such a method depends on the size of the vector over which the FFT is executed, and the number of FFTs computed. One approach to optimize the correlation process execution time is to deal with vectors which size is a fraction of the spreading code period. The most well-known acquisition method based on this approach is the Double-Block Zero-Padding as presented initially in literature in [3]. It has been demonstrated by Lin and Tsui [4] and Chibout [5]. That the DBZP consumes less time and power compared to other classical acquisition methods, also based on FFTs.

##### 4.1. DBZP Method Algorithm

The general mathematical model of the Double-Block Zero-Padding acquisition method can be described in 5 steps. The block diagram of the DBZP method is shown in Figure 3.

The concept of the DBZP is the use of many partial correlations over a duration equivalent to a few tens of chips. To do so, the incoming signal and the local code are split into blocks.




Figure-3. Double-Block Zero-Padding (DBZP) method block diagram Zhang and Ghogho [6]

Initialization :

The input parameters of the DBZP are:

- The coherent integration time  $T_C$ ,
- The Doppler uncertainty range  $[f_{D,Min}, f_{D,Max}]$  where  $f_{D,Max}$  is the maximum expected value of the incoming Doppler frequency and  $f_{D,Min}$  the minimum. The central frequency of the Doppler frequency range is denoted  $f_{D,Med}$ . In a typical acquisition scheme, the coherent integration time is in general chosen to be equal to the spreading code period  $T_C$ . For an application on GPS L1 C/A signal, it can be several spreading code periods. The Doppler frequency range is in general symmetric with respect to 0 when there is no a priori knowledge on the Doppler. Even if the Doppler frequency range is not symmetric, it is easy to go to the case  $f_{D,Med} = 0$ , by multiplying the local carrier by  $\exp(-2i\pi f_{D,Med} n T_s)$  with  $(n = 0, 1, \dots, N_s - 1)$ . Then  $f_{D,Min} = -f_{D,Max}$ . Unlike the serial search acquisition method, the number of DBZP Doppler frequency bins and their resolutions are fixed by the algorithm and cannot be chosen by the user. The number of Doppler frequency bins, denoted  $N_b$  is determined by:

$$N_b = \frac{f_{D,Max} - f_{D,Min}}{\frac{1}{T_C}} = 2f_{D,Max} \times T_C \tag{2}$$

The number of code delay blocks is chosen to be equal to the number of Doppler frequency bins [7]. It can be deduced that:

- The duration of one block  $t_b$  is:

$$t_b = \frac{T_C}{N_b} = \frac{1}{2f_{D,Max}} \tag{3}$$

- The number of samples per block  $N_{spb}$  is equal to:

$$N_{spb} = \frac{N_s}{N_b} = t_b \times f_s \tag{4}$$

- The Doppler frequency resolution  $\Delta f$  is:

$$\Delta_f = \frac{2f_{D,Max}}{N_b} = \frac{1}{T_c} \quad (5)$$

### 5. STEPS OF DBZP ALGORITHM

#### Step 1: Pre-processing of the incoming signal

Firstly, the received signal is pre-processed. Indeed, the received complex signal is converted into baseband by multiplying it by a complex carrier  $\exp(-2i\pi f_l t_n T_s)$  depending only on the intermediate frequency  $f_l$ , which means that the local complex carrier does not try to compensate the incoming Doppler frequency. It is important to understand that only one carrier replica, which does not depend on a Doppler frequency estimate, is generated.




Figure-4. Pre-processing of the incoming signal  
Zhang and Ghogho [6]

The resulting  $T_C$  long baseband samples are arranged into  $N_b$  blocks of equal length. Each couple of two consecutive blocks is grouped to form  $N_b$  blocks of size  $2N_{spb}$  (thus the name "Double-Block") and denoted  $B_{i+1}^s$ , with  $l = 0, 1, \dots, N_b - 1$  referring to as the block index. The last block is combined with additional samples as illustrated in Figure 4.

#### Step 2: Generation of the local spreading code

The second step consists in conditioning the local spreading code. As for the incoming signal,  $T_C$  ms of the local code are generated and splitted up into  $N_b$  blocks of  $N_{spb}$  samples. Then, each block is zero-padded and denoted  $B_{i+1}^c$ , this means that  $N_{spb}$  samples of value 0 are appended to each block as illustrated in Figure 5, where the  $N_{spb}$ -block composed of 0s is represented by a black box





Figure-5. Pre-processing of the local code  
Zhang and Ghogho [6]

**Step 3: Partial correlations on the split signals**

The third step aims at evaluating the correlation output, computing it by means of FFT. The first  $2Nspb$ -samples block of the incoming signal is circularly correlated with the first zero padded code block. This results in a partial circular correlation, and only the first half is preserved.

Some points in this step should be developed. The  $Nspb$  output samples represent a partial correlation on  $tb$  ms (much shorter than a spreading code period) over  $Nspb$  possible code delays. The partial correlation is illustrated in Figure 6 and can be compared with the full correlation.



**Figure-6.** Full and partial GPS L1 C/A autocorrelations  
Zhang and Ghogho [6]

When the local and incoming spreading codes are perfectly aligned (or the estimated code delay is in the neighborhood of the right code delay), the normalized partial correlation is equivalent to the normalized full autocorrelation. The drawback of the partial correlation is that the correlation is done on only a part of the whole spreading code and thus the periodicity and the properties of the spreading code are not kept (the isolation is degraded as it can be observed in Figure 6).

In the DBZP acquisition method, the Zero-Padding is used to go over the non-periodicity of the partial code blocks., when the zero-padding is not used, the normalized autocorrelation function peak is highly attenuated. On the contrary,when the partial correlation is computed using  $2tb$  of signal and zero-padding the local partial code, the normalized autocorrelation function peak is highly isolated and not attenuated Let us note that only the first part of the correlation is kept, corresponding to the one with the potential peak. On the figures, the partial correlation is done over  $tb$  for a code delay of 27 chips Knowing that  $l = 0, 1, \dots, Nb - 1$  defines the code block pair, the coherent integration interval is assumed to be:

$$[T_0 + (k - 1)T_C + lt_b, T_0 + (k - 1)T_C + (l + 1)t_b] \tag{6}$$

Furthermore, the phase at

$$t = T_0 + (k - 1)T_C + lt_b \tag{7}$$

is assumed to be:

$$\phi_0(k, l) = 2\pi f_D(T_0 + (k - 1)T_C + lt_b) \tag{8}$$

Based on the classical correlator outputs, the partial in-phase correlator output is:

$$\tilde{I}_l(k) = \frac{1}{t_b} \int_{T_0+(k-1)T_C+lt_b}^{T_0+(k-1)T_C+(l+1)t_b} B_{l+1}^c(t) \times B_{l+1}^s(t) dt \tag{9}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_l(k) &= \frac{A}{2} d(k) \bar{R}_{c_1}(\varepsilon\tau(k, l)) \cos(\pi f_D t_b + \varepsilon\phi_0(k, l)) \text{sinc}(\pi f_D t_b) + \eta_{\bar{I}_l}(k) \\ \bar{Q}_l(k) &= \frac{A}{2} d(k) \bar{R}_{c_1}(\varepsilon\tau(k, l)) \sin(\pi f_D t_b + \varepsilon\phi_0(k, l)) \text{sinc}(\pi f_D t_b) + \eta_{\bar{Q}_l}(k) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where:

-  $l = 0, 1, \dots, Nb - 1$  stands for the  $l$ -th partial correlation,

$\tilde{I}_l$  and  $\tilde{Q}_l$  are the in-phase and quadrature phase  $l$ -th partial correlator output,

-  $\bar{R}_{c_1}$  is the partial autocorrelation function,

-  $\varepsilon\tau(k, l)$  is the code delay in  $[T_0 + (k - 1)T_C + lt_b, T_0 + (k - 1)T_C + (l + 1)t_b]$ . Strictly speaking,  $\varepsilon\tau(k, l)$  depends on the slice of time, but it is assumed that the parameters of the incoming signal and local replica are constant during the correlation process

and then, it is assumed that  $\varepsilon\tau(k, l) = \varepsilon\tau$ ,

-  $\varepsilon\phi_0(k, l) = \phi_0(k, l) - \bar{\phi}_0$  is the carrier phase error at the beginning of the interval

$[T_0 + (k - 1)T_C + lt_b, T_0 + (k - 1)T_C + (l + 1)t_b]$ ,

-  $\eta_{\bar{I}}$  and  $\eta_{\bar{Q}}$  are the noises at the partial correlator outputs with a variance of

$$\sigma_{\eta}^2 = \frac{N_0}{4t_b} = \frac{N_0 N_b}{4T_C} \tag{11}$$

It is worth noting that the phase  $\pi f_D t_b + \varepsilon\phi_0(k, l)$  depends on:

- The incoming Doppler frequency  $fD$  (if  $fD$  is null, otherwise on  $\varepsilon fD = fD - fD$ ),

- The  $(l + 1)$ th signal block  $B_{l+1}^s$

The partial correlator outputs can be stored in a matrix of size  $Nb \times Nspb$  where:

- There are as many columns as possible code delays: each column contains all the partial correlator outputs for a given code delay error,

- There are as many rows as partial correlations: each row contains the partial correlator outputs for a given slice of time.

#### Step 4: Application of the FFT

An  $Nb$ -point FFT is applied to the set of the partial correlation outputs corresponding to a given code delay.

This permits to determine the Doppler frequency of the incoming signal. It can be assumed that  $(A/2) \bar{R}_{c_1}(\varepsilon\tau) \text{sinc}(\pi f_D t_b)$  is constant for all  $l$  in  $\llbracket 0, NNbb-1 \rrbracket$  and can be approximated by  $(A/2) R_{c_1}(\varepsilon\tau) \text{sinc}(\pi f_D t_b)$  in the neighborhood of  $\varepsilon\tau = 0$ . Thus, the FFT of the partial correlator outputs provides the DBZP outputs

$$\begin{aligned}
 \iota(k, m) &= \mathcal{F}(\bar{T}_i(k)) \\
 &= \frac{A}{2} d(k) R_{c_1}(\varepsilon_r) \text{sinc}(\pi f_D t_b) \mathcal{F}(\cos(\pi f_D t_b + 2\pi f_D l t_b + \varepsilon_{\phi_0}(k, 0))) \\
 &\quad + \eta_i(m) \\
 &= \frac{A}{2} d(k) R_{c_1}(\varepsilon_r) \text{sinc}(\pi f_D t_b) N_b \frac{\text{sinc}(\pi(m - f_D T_C))}{\text{sinc}(\pi \frac{m - f_D T_C}{N_b})} \cos(\phi(k)) + \eta_i(m) \\
 \rho(k, m) &= \mathcal{F}(\bar{Q}_i(k)) \\
 &= \frac{A}{2} d(k) R_{c_1}(\varepsilon_r) \text{sinc}(\pi f_D t_b) N_b \frac{\text{sinc}(\pi(m - f_D T_C))}{\text{sinc}(\pi \frac{m - f_D T_C}{N_b})} \sin(\phi(k)) + \eta_\rho(m)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{12}$$

where:

$$-\phi(k) = \pi f_D t_b + (\pi(Nb - 1)/Nb)(f_D T_C - m) + \varepsilon_{\phi_0}(k, 0),$$

-  $m = 0, \dots, Nb - 1$  is the point where the FFT is taken and corresponds to a Doppler frequency bin,

-  $\eta_i$  and  $\eta_\rho$  are the complex noises at the DBZP outputs, which expression and variance  $\sigma_{\text{DBZP}}^2$

$$\sigma_{\text{DBZP}}^2 = \frac{N_b^2 N_0}{4 T_C} \tag{13}$$

It is interesting to note that the width of the main peak of the sinc term  $\text{sinc}(\pi f_D t_b)$  is  $2Nb/T_C$  which is larger than the main peak of the sinc term  $\text{sinc}(\pi \varepsilon f_D T_C)$  (classical serial search) which is  $2/T_C$ . However, due to the additional presence of the second sinc term, in the frequency domain, the DBZP output should provide a peak for the frequency bin that corresponds to the right estimation of the incoming Doppler frequency, for a right estimation of the code delay. The peak width corresponds to the frequency resolution  $1/T_C$ .

**Step 5: Permutation of code blocks:**

In the process previously described, only code delays in the first code delay time slice  $[0, t_b]$  are tested. To try all code delays, the local code blocks are circularly permuted: the  $Nb$ -th block becomes the first block, the first block becomes the second block, etc  $Nr$  permutations like this can be done to explore the whole code delays. The incoming signal blocks are kept unchanged. Let us note that if the coherent integration time  $T_C$  is equal to the spreading code period, the number of circular permutations corresponds to the number of blocks  $Nr = Nb$ . However, if  $T_C$  is longer than one spreading code period (e.g. for GPS L1 C/A with  $T_C = 10$  ms [8]) the number of circular permutations reduces to  $Nr = Nb / (T_C / T_{c1})$  due to the spreading code periodicity. Indeed, the code block  $B_{Nr+1}^C$  is equal to the code block  $B_1^C$  because the first  $Nr$  blocks describe spreading code period and the next  $Nr$  blocks are a repetition of the first  $Nr$  blocks. The DBZP matrix output is of size  $(Nb \times Nr N_{spb})$ , each row corresponding to a Doppler frequency bin and each column to a code delay.

**6. CONCLUSION**

The intention of this paper has been to propose a new computationally efficient acquisition method for GNSS software receivers. From the literature, it results that methods based on parallelization (in time or frequency domain) perform the acquisition operation efficiently due to the use of FFT. In general, it is more efficient to perform many FFTs on small vectors than one FFT on a large vector. Based on this, the Double-Block Zero-Padding (DBZP) is pointed out as one of the most computationally efficient acquisition method for GPS L1 C/A due the use of partial correlations and a high level of parallelization (in code and frequency). all steps of the DBZP acquisition method were delved into details. This analysis permitted to mathematically express the DBZP outputs and investigate its performance. It has been shown that the signal-to-noise ratio at the DBZP output and at the classical correlator output are the same. But the DBZP method is faster than classical method so we have a gain in time and good localization of observation satellite.



**Funding:** This study received no specific financial support.

**Competing Interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Contributors/Acknowledgement:** All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Sakai, K. Kobayashi, S. Kubota, M. Morikura, and S. Kato, "Soft-decision Viterbi decoding with diversity combining (mobile satellite communications)," presented at the Global Telecommunications Conference, 1990, and Exhibition.'Communications: Connecting the Future', GLOBECOM'90., IEEE, 1990.
- [2] P. Ward, J. W. Betz, and C. Hegarty, *GPS satellite signal characteristics, in understanding GPS: Principles and applications*: Artech House, 2005.
- [3] D. M. Lin, J. B. Y. Tsui, and T. Howell, "Direct P(Y)-code acquisition algorithm for software GPS receivers," in *Proceedings of the 12th International Technical Meeting of the Satellite Division of The Institute of Navigation (ION GPS 1999)*. Nashville, TN (USA), 1999, pp. 363 – 368.
- [4] D. Lin and J. B. Y. Tsui, *Comparison of acquisition methods for software GPS receiver*. Salt Lake City, UT: ION GPS, 2000.
- [5] B. Chibout, "Application of indoor and urban GNSS localisation techniques to space navigation," PhD Thesis, ENAC, Toulouse, France, 2008.
- [6] W. Zhang and M. Ghogho, "Improved fast modified double-block zero-padding (FMDBZP) algorithm for weak GPS signal acquisition," in *Proceedings of 18th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO 2010)*. Aalborg (Denmark), 2010.
- [7] N. I. Ziedan and J. L. Garrison, "Bit synchronization and Doppler frequency removal at very low carrier to noise ratio using a combination of the Viterbi algorithm with an extended Kalman filter," in *Proceedings of the 16th International Technical Meeting of the Satellite Division of The Institute of Navigation (ION GPS/GNSS 2003)*, 2001, pp. 616-627.
- [8] N. I. Ziedan, *GNSS receivers for weak signals*. Boston, London: Artech House. INC, 2006.

*Views and opinions expressed in this article are the views and opinions of the author(s), Journal of Asian Scientific Research shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability etc. caused in relation to/arising out of the use of the content.*