



journal homepage: http://aessweb.com/journal-detail.php?id=5004

THE EVALUATION OF LOGISTICS-ORIENTED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT LEVEL BASED ON PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS AND CLUSTER **ANALYSIS: THE CASE OF GUANGDONG PROVINCE**

Xia Pan

Lingnan College, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

Jeffrev E. Jarrett

Faculty of Management Science and Finance, University Of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI, USA

Abstract

This paper tries to cluster the cities in Guangdong Province, China according to their economic development levels. We intentionally separate a group of indicators for logistics and transportation development levels, therefore, the clustering results can be viewed as in accordance with logisticsoriented economic development levels. Before the clustering, we use principal component analysis to reduce the number of dimensions. The results of the final categories are consistent with what many people perceived about the clusters according to the city's economic development levels, as well as how much the cities' logistics is developed. This findings provide the business and public administration decision makers the references in their decisions on developing the respective city's logistics and transportation.

Keywords: Logistics oriented economic development, cluster analysis, Pseudo- t^2 statistics, component matrix

1. INTRODUCTION

The objective of this study is to apply a methodology for improving economic development in a region involving a logistics model to efficiently move product from place to place. The result is to improve by using the logistics system to enable the supply chain environment to be sustained, improved and to run efficiently the overall performance of urban freight systems. This contribution appears to be an attempt to develop a framework for capturing the sustainability (economic, social, environmental) aspects of shipping freight. This attempt is also a challenge, considering that economic and environmental issues are quite difficult to measure, as opposed to physical phenomena measured through mechanical devices. This subject is crucial for the improvement of transportation planning in emerging nations. The evaluation of freight issues and policies would benefit from the existence of globally accepted methodologies for handling issues in the supply chain.

Ramanathan (2001) studied how to design a system for prioritizing their environment management plan and strengthen decisions for allocation of a budget. This budget plan is designed to producemethods for mitigating adverse socio-economic impacts. Later, Allen et al. (2003) and Anderson et al. (2005) laid the groundwork for reflecting the sustainability of urban distribution operations. Methodological problems in developing nations to determine the appropriate models and

Corresponding author's

Name: Jeffrey E. Jarrett

Email address: jeffreyejarrett@gmail.com

tools for the analysis, planning and operations of a system to measure freight transportation in congested areas was studied by Crainic *et al.* (2004). Others [May *et al.* (2006, 2008), Patier and Brown (2010), Filippi *et al.* (2010) and Betanzo-Quezada (2012)] proceeded to raise additional questions concerning assessments concerning urban freight. Based on these previous studies, we approach the problems of freight so that decision makers can see better methods and understanding of the problem.

2. RESEARCH METHODS

2.1. Principal component analysis

We convert a set of indictors into a few comprehensive variables, eliminating the correlation amount the original indictors and replacing the original multiple indicators with several comprehensive ones. Second, we determine several predictor (explanatory) variables which best explain the original information from numerous observations. The purpose is to reduce the dimensionality of multidimensional variables, simplify the data structures, and result in a convenient method convenient for problem resolving.

2.2. Cluster analysis

Cluster analysis achieves grouping a set of objects by multivariate statistical methods according to its object features. The procedure creates groups consisting of observations by their features and aims to achieve that sample observations in the same group are more similar to each other than to those in other groups. In this study, we arrive at a sample matrix by the q top primary component which results from the principal component analysis method. The process involves finding the Euclidean distance between samples, as well as determining class average (mean) method between classes. Last, by using Pseudo- t^2 Statistics, one determines the cluster number (Vizirgiannis *et al.*, 2003).

2.3. Research framework

The following schematic indicates visually the method by which the developed operates.



Figure 1: Schematic of model decision process

2.3.1. The city logistics development environment comprehensive evaluation PCA model

2.3.1.1. General principle of the PCA (principal component analysis model)

PC Aaims to convert indicators into a handful of comprehensive index through dimension reduction. In statistics, principal component analysis is a simplified technology of data sets. It is a linear transformation. It transforms the data to a new coordinate system. It makes any data projection of the first big variance in the first coordinate (called the first principal component), the second big variance in the second coordinate (the second principal component) and so on. Principal component analysis often used to reduce dimension numbers, while keeping the data features which can contribution to the variance of a data set mostly. This is achieved by retaining low-order principal component, ignoring higher-order principal component. These low-order components always can retain almost all information of the data.

The basic principle of principal component analysis is using the ideas of dimension reduction; it is also a multivariate statistical method which converts the indicators into a handful of comprehensive index. Each of these indicators is relative, and information between each other will be overlapped to a certain extent. Therefore, principal component analysis can be used for the regional logistics development comprehensive evaluation in a province.

2.3.1.2. Steps of principal component analysis

Given n regions, p indicators, the original sample matrix will be

$$\mathbf{x}^* = (\mathbf{x}_{ij}^*)_{n \times p}, i = 1, 2, ..., n; j = 1, 2...p$$

First, we obtain standardized processing to eliminate the influence of different dimensions, which is we define the standardized evaluation matrix $X = (X_{ij})_{n \times p}$, which is given as follows:

$$X_{ij} = \frac{X_{ij}^* - \overline{X}_{ij}^*}{S_j^*}$$

Where \overline{X}_{ij}^{*} is the sample mean of j-jth indicator, and S_{j}^{*} is the sample standard deviation. Calculating correlation coefficient matrix between indicators $R_{p \times p}$, as well as its Eigen value

Now, we obtain the principal components as follows:

 $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ and eigenvector e_j .

$$Y_j = Xe_j$$

The variance contribution rate of the jth principal component is $a_j = \lambda_j / p$, if the cumulative variance contribution rate $a = \sum_{j=1}^{q} a_j$ reaches the threshold (generally larger than 85%), using the top q principal components $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_q$ as comprehensive indicators which can best and comprehensively explain the original p indicators.

Using the proportion of each principal component's Eigen value of the total Eigen value as its weights of component comprehensive model.

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \left(\frac{\lambda_i Y_i}{\sum_{m=1}^{q} \lambda_m} \right), m = 1, 2, ..., q$$

Where F reflects the comprehensive strength of area logistics development, the higher value of F the better of region logistics development, the weaker conversely.

2.3.1.3. Cluster analysis model

Cluster analysis is a general terms that manipulate variables according to its features by multivariate analysis techniques. According to the different types of samples, these are divided into Q-type cluster analysis and R-type cluster analysis. Q-type cluster analysis refers to the sample clustering, while the R-type cluster analysis refers to the variable clustering, here we mainly used Q-type clustering. The purpose of cluster analysis is to divide objects into different classes according to certain rules. These classes are not given in advance, but determined in accordance with the data features. Sample observations in the same group are similar to each other to some degree; those in different groups have greater disparities.

2.3.1.4. Principle of cluster analysis

System clustering is a method of successive mergers; each specifies the distance between samples and between classes, letting n samples into a class of their own.

In the beginning, every sample is a class, the distance between classes and samples is the same; and then, merge two nearest classes; repeat, reduce a category at each time, successively looping until all samples classified in a class. However, it is meaningless that all samples are classified into a class, so that the process ceases when the class numbers reach a threshold. Hence, we obtain the result of clustering analysis. Nevertheless, it is surely a complex problem to determine the number of clusters, the clustering process can be visualized by binary hierarchical clustering diagram.

2.3.1.5. Steps of system clustering

Take a brief summary of system clustering; there are five parts as following:

- 1) Defining the distance among samples and the distance among classes;
- 2) Taking each Observation record as an individual category;
- 3) Calculating the distance between classes, and merge the nearest two classes, then the class number minus one;
- 4) If current class number greater than 1, turn back to step 3;
- 5) The end of the clustering.

2.3.1.6. The method of defining distance

We can know it is the key point for clustering that how to define the distance between samples and the distance between classes from the general steps of clustering. There are kinds of approaches for system clustering, but its implementation are basically the same. Euclidean distance was used in this study, the specific equation follows:

$$d_{ij} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{p} (x_{ik} - x_{jk})^2}$$

Here, d_{ij} represents the distance between sample i and sample j, p is the number of samples.

The distance between the two classes is generally defined as the distance between some special points in class. Here we have two classes G_k and G_L , in this study we used class average method to

points in class. Here we have two classes \bigcirc_k and \bigcirc_L , in this study we used class average method to calculate the distance between classes, the specific formula is as follows:

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{KL}} = \frac{1}{n_{K} n_{L}} \sum_{x_{i} \in G_{k}} \sum_{x_{j} \in G_{L}} d_{ij}$$

Where n_K and n_L each is the class number of G_k and G_L .

2.3.2. The construction of comprehensive evaluation index system for logistics development

2.3.2.1. The principle of index selection

Comprehensive evaluation of logistics development is a complicated system engineering. In the process of the index selection, mainly follow the following principles:

- a) Integrity, the selection of logistics development indicators should take the society macroeconomic environment, logistics infrastructure, logistics performance, human resource etc, into consideration.
- b) Objectivity, index system should be objective and truly reflect the goals and the relationship between indexes, data must be objective and reliable.
- c) Availability, each index should be univocal, easily accessible, and the calculation method should be concise.
- d) Comparability, the selection of logistics development evaluation index system should be comparable across the region.

2.3.2.2. The comprehensive evaluation index system of logistics development

Based on the above principle, as well as the related literature and consult the opinions of the experts, we pick the following five aspects Indicators to establish a comprehensive evaluation index system of logistics development level, these indicators reflect the logistics development from different aspects.

- a) Social and economic development, reflect the basis of social economy for logistics development. Including GDP(X1), per capita GDP(X2), disposable income(X₃)
- b) Transportation, including cargo throughput of port(X4), volume of freight traffic(X₅), tonnage $mileage(X_6)$
- c) Human resource, including number of graduation from secondary vocational education (X_7)
- d) Production, consumption of circulation, including gross farm production(X_8), gross industrial production(X_9), Building gross(X_{10}), total retail sales of consumer goods(X_{11}), gross export(X_{12}), gross import(X_{13})
- e) Information development , including total of posts $business(X_{14})$

2.3.2.3. Research instance of logistics development evaluation in Guangdong province

Guangdong province earliest started logistics industry in China; logistics development evaluation plays an important role in logistics practice.

Therefore, the author selected the 2011 data of 21 cities in Guangdong province as the research objects, Specific data are shown in table 1:

	Economy			Transportation			Human
City	GDP	GDPPO	C Income		port		resource and Education
Guangzhou	12423.44	97588	24438.08	44770	56585	2436.53	74310
Shenzhen	11505.53	110421	36505.04	22325	28408	1931.80	9373
Zhuhai	1404.53	89794	28730.69	7170	6887	101.02	5622
Shantou	1275.74	23596	17473.89	4005	3576	133.88	16025
Foshan	6210.23	86073	30717.99	5423	23496	199.45	22647
Shaoguan	816.81	28760	20328.83	53	7056	143.74	16881
Heyuan	579.29	19505	14737.01	1	2698	92.39	8176
Meizhou	707.54	16623	26608.06	135	4747	92.39	16784
Huizhou	2093.08	45331	16761.06	5170	14224	217.17	18430

Table 1: Evaluation index data of Guangdong province in 2011

Shanwei	550.55	18682	15751.37	564	1596	17.56	4226
Dongguan	4735.39	57470	39512.65	6848	10165	187.48	13943
Zhongshan	2193.2	70014	27699.71	5485	11439	94.28	7369
Jisangmen	1830.64	41062	23923.63	5914	8180	107.19	15666
Yanghiang	766.82	31491	16878.19	1121	2980	64.88	3875
Zhanjiang	1700.23	24163	17583.62	15539	8847	300.77	37320
Maiming	1745.31	29811	16113.39	2307	5202	118.35	40285
Zhaoqing	1324.41	33642	19039.65	2489	3342	45.36	22571
Qingyuan	1003.03	26957	17667.53	697	8038	136.96	12882
Chazhou	647.22	24169	15664.31	936	2970	130.88	4382
Jieyang	1225.86	20780	16878.89	1547	2280	34.08	7821
Yunfu	481.37	20302	16090.48	1206	2707	43.85	7955

			Total Production				Technology development
City	Agriculture	Industrial Output	Contribution Output	Retail Output	Export	Impact	Post telephone service
Guangzhou	204.54	4140.59	436.38	5243.02	564.68	596.94	525.32
Shenzhen	6.55	4995.10	348.23	3520.87	2453.99	1685.76	404.40
Zhuhai	36.55	714.29	50.12	567.86	239.77	276.53	43.85
Shantou	73.76	592.86	56.84	972.21	59.53	28.35	60.13
Foshan	118.86	3735.29	135.66	1931.41	390.91	217.98	134.57
Shaoguan	113.16	298.26	48.97	383.99	7.22	10.57	21.68
Heuyan	72.39	285.90	20.65	188.04	19.16	8.77	19.36
Meizhou	143.99	227.52	55.14	372.79	10.94	2.71	35.69
Huizhon	116.51	1147.79	75.46	684.72	231.22	156.91	65.92
Shanwei	89.99	223.29	35.22	414.59	12.77	12.27	17.59
Dongguan	17.88	2288.41	77.79	1266.31	783.26	569.07	218.36
Zhongshan	58.45	1164.62	58.62	756.07	245.46	96.39	69.35
Jiangmen	138.36	953.21	44.05	759.15	122.52	54.37	50.34
Yangjiang	160.33	295.80	43.18	440.11	19.19	2.31	21.36
Zhanjiang	344.15	642.11	68.32	805.99	30.95	23.10	56.98
Maoming	319.24	645.80	50.86	842.86	5.99	3.25	39.23
Ziaoqing	226.40	535.42	51.87	389.71	33.08	24.04	32.28
Qingyuan	139.33	402.64	45.84	433.69	23.43	21.97	28.14
Chaozhou	45.97	333.27	19.66	287.73	27.09	14.72	21.70
Jieyang	128.70	687.87	47.09	573.45	37.92	7.32	35.57
Yunfu	119.72	181.35	23.11	167.58	8.84	5.05	16.18

According to principal component analysis model, standardized the above raw data (specific data are shown in table 3), disappear the dimensional effect.

Then calculate the correlation coefficient between index matrixes and obtained its characteristic value. This paper uses statistical software SPSS 19.0 for calculation, and then get the characteristic value and the variance contribution rate of each component. Specifics shown in table 2.

Table 2: characteristic value and the variance contribution rate of each component							
Elements	Attributes	Contribution	Accumulated Contribution				
1	10.331	73.790	73.790				
2	2.290	16.355	90.145				
3	0.552	3.945	94.090				
4	0.414	2.955	97.044				
5	0.154	1.100	98.144				
6	0.153	1.092	99.236				
7	0.044	0.317	99.553				
8	0.032	0.229	99.782				
9	0.018	0.130	99.913				
10	0.006	0.040	99.952				
11	0.003	0.023	99.975				
12	0.002	0.014	99.989				
13	0.001	0.010	99.999				
14	0.000	0.001	100.000				

Table 2: characteristic	value and	the variance	contribution	rate of	each com	ponent
-------------------------	-----------	--------------	--------------	---------	----------	--------

From Table 2, we observe that the first principal components variance contribution rate is 73.79%, the first two Eigen values explain the variance of the total 90.145%, So the first two components has summed up the most of the information, the total variance contribution rate of the last 12 components is less than 10%. So this paper we will take two components as the main components which are Y_1 and Y_2 . Thus, this original 14 indicators convert into two new indicators, it plays the role of dimensionality reduction.

The calculation of feature matrix are shown in Table 3.

Category	Variable Name	Variable	PC1	PC2
	GDP	x1	0.308	0.002
Conoral aconomy	GDP per Capita	x2	0.026	-0.176
General economy	Distributable income	x3	0.250	-0.222
	Port Capita	x4	0.280	0.219
Transportation	Shipments	x5	0.290	0.164
	Cargo turnover	xб	0.293	0.102
	Number of mid-level education	x7	0.172	0.528
Human Capital	Agricultural	x8	-0.034	0.584
	Industrial	x9	0.294	-0.098
	Construction	x10	0.302	0.089
Droduction	Retail	x11	0.302	0.118
FIGURCHOIL	Export	x12	0.245	-0.323
	Import	x13	0.262	-0.277
Technology	Post telephone service	x14	0.305	0.033

Table 3: Component matrix

According to the component matrix, we can get a linear combination of the first two principal components as follows:

$$\begin{split} Y_1 = & 0.306X_1 + 0.265X_2 + 0.250X_3 + 0.280X_4 + 0290X_5 + 0.293X_6 + 0173X_7 - 0.035X_8 + 0.294X_9 + 0.303X_{10} + 0.302X_{11} + 0.245X_{12} + 0.263X_{13} + 0.305X_{14} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Y_2 = 0.002 X_1 - 0.177 X_2 - 0.222 X_3 + 0.219 X_4 + 0.164 X_5 + 0.101 X_6 + 0.528 X_7 + 0.584 X_8 - 0.098 X_9 \\ + 0.089 X_{10} + 0.118 X_{11} + 0.323 X_{12} - 0.278 X_{13} + 0.033 X_{14} \end{split}$$

It can be seen that in a linear combination of the first principal component, in addition to the agricultural output X_8 coefficient of the rest variables are nearly the same, only the variable X_7 graduation number of secondary vocational is a little bit different. The first principal component explained 73.79% of the total information. It can be basically regarded as the comprehensive substituted indicator for GDP(X_1), per capita GDP(X_2), disposable income(X_3), cargo throughput of port(X_4), volume of freight traffic(X_5), tonnage mileage(X_6), number of graduation from secondary vocational education(X_7), gross farm production(X_8), gross industrial production(X_9), Building gross(X_{10}), total retail sales of consumer goods(X_{11}), gross export(X_{12}), gross import(X_{13}), total of posts business(X_{14}). It also reflects that the industries commercial economy and transportation as well as information technology have great impact on logistics. The second principal component mainly shows the influence on the demand of logistics service from agricultural development. Since the X_{13} , X_{14} total import and export have higher negative load, we can conclude that the second principal component has impact on non-trading affairs.

The principal component integrated model as follows:

$$F = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_{1+\lambda_2}} \times Y_1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_{1+\lambda_2}} \times Y_2$$

Here, F is comprehensive principal component values, represents logistics development, the larger of F the better of its logistics development. Meanwhile, the negative value doesn't means the regional logistics development is poor, it just a relative value. Detailed in Table 4.

City	First PC	Second PC	Score	Rank
Guangzhou	9.510	3.560	8.433	1
Shenzhen	8.327	-3.137	6.252	2
Foshan	2.358	-0.392	1.860	3
Dongguan	1.899	-2.044	1.185	4
Zhanjiang	-0.575	2.752	0.026	5
Huizhou	-0.061	-0.176	-0.082	6
Zhongshan	-0.055	-1.119	-0.247	7
Zhuhai	-0.084	-1.618	-0.361	8
Maoming	-1.154	2.342	-0.521	9
Jiangmen	-0.684	0.030	-0.554	10
Zhaoqing	-1.407	0.946	-0.981	11
Shantou	-1.225	-0.077	-1.012	12
Shaoguan	-1.510	0.052	-1.228	13
Qingyuan	-1.556	0.187	-1.241	14
Meizhou	-1.804	0.408	-1.404	15
Jieyang	-1.171	-0.058	-1.414	16
Yangjiang	-1.863	-0.015	-1.529	17
Chaozhou	-1.988	-0.723	-1.759	18
Yunfu	-2.133	-0.102	-1.795	19
Shanwei	-2.121	-0.407	-1.809	20
Heyuan	-2.154	-0.405	-1.838	21

 Table 4: Logistics development ranking in Guangdong province

From the Table 4, we observe that the development of logistics in Guangdong province vary from city to city, in addition to Guangzhou, Shenzhen, Foshan, Dongguan greater than 0, the rest are less than 0. Guangzhou has the highest score both first and the second principal components, so we can see that Guangzhou is really do a good job on economy, transportation and logistics development. But both Shenzhen and Dongguan are not well on the second principal component, it shows that their agricultural development is not that good, but their industrial Mineralization degree is high, and

have higher developed in foreign trade.Maoming, Shantou, Shaoguan and Zhaoqing, Qingyuan, Jieyang, Meizhou, Yangjiang, Chaozhou, Yunfu, Shanwei, Heyuan all are negative on the first principal component, it shows that all of them are needed to improve their economy. The second principal component of Zhanjiang, Maoming, Zhaoqing are greater than the others. This indicates that their agricultural development is better than others, but they need to improve theirforeign trading. Therefore, according to the scores for each city, the regional logistics development in Guangdong province is roughly divided into four levels.

The first level($F_i>2$):Guangzhou, Shenzhen; the second level ($1<F_i<2$): Foshan, Dongguan; the third level ($-0.6<F_i<1$):Zhanjiang, Huizhou, Zhongshan, Zhuhai, Maoming, Jiangmen; the fourth level ($F_i<-2$): the rest of cities.

2.3.2.4. Logistics cluster in various cities in guangdong province

If analyzed in accordance with the general clustering methods, as many indicators calculated relatively tedious and error prone, prior to the analysis in view of the first two principal components obtained can reflect 90% of the original data information based on the main component, And these two components are not related to each other. So in front of the principal component analysis using two principal components indicator data obtained, consisting of cluster analysis of the sample matrix. According to average method for cluster analysis class level of logistics development in all regions of Guangdong Province, and draw the cluster diagram shown in Figure 1.



2.3.2.5. Determining the number of the class

How to determine the numbers in each cluster is appropriate? This is a very difficult problem, which people have not found a satisfactory way yet to solve, but this is a problem that cannot be avoided. This study adopts the classification of the following several methods to determine the number of classes to achieve the comprehensive level of regional logistics development:

2.3.2.6. Threshold T

Via the observation of clustering in Figure 1, we gave thought to the appropriate threshold T, which requires the distance between classes should greater than T, so some samples may be unable to be classified. This method has strong subjectivity, which is its deficiencies. Observe the distance between classes T=1.4,two categories divided, respectively (1) Guangzhou; (2) Shenzhen; (2) the remaining 19 cities; if T=1,three categories divided, respectively (1) Guangzhou; (2) Shenzhen; (2) the remaining 19 cities; if T=0.7,four categories divided, respectively (1) Guangzhou; (2) Shenzhen; Dongguan; (3) Foshan; (4) the remaining 17cities; if T=0.5, it can be divided into five categories, respectively(1) Guangzhou; (2) Shenzhen; (3) Tongguan; (4) Foshan; Maoming; Zhanjiang; (5) the remaining cities.

2.3.2.7. The scatter diagram

If there is only two or three variables of the sample, we can determine the number of classes by observing the scatter diagram of data. Take the first principal components as the X axis, and the second principal components as the Y axis to draw the corresponding scatter diagram, as shown in Figure 2: Principal components by observation of the scatter diagram according to the scores of two principal components, the 21 cities of Guangdong Province can be divided into five categories:(1)



Figure 2: Cluster scatter

Guangzhou; (2) Shenzhen; (3) Dongguan; (4) Foshan; Zhanjiang; Maoming; (5) the remaining cities.

We denote the pseudo t^2 statistic as follows: $t^2 = \frac{D_{KL}^2}{(W_K + W_L) / (n_K + n_L - 2)}$

 $D_{KL}^2 = W_M - W_K - W_L$ If is the incremental of the sum of squared residuals within the new category G_M which merged with G_K and G_L. One uses the pseudo t² statistic for evaluating the results

of the combined categories of G_K and G_L . The value of false t is expresses that the increment of the sum of squared residuals within the new category D^2_{KL} is greater than the sum of squared residuals within the G_K and G_L after G_K and G_L merged into G_M . It shows that the two combined categories are separated, i.e. the effect of last clustering is much better. The pseudo t^2 statistic is a useful indicator to determine the number of categories, but it don't have the distribution as random variables t^2 . In this study, the sample matrix consisting of two principal components uses SAS statistical software to cluster, and calculate the value of the false F and the value of the false t^2 , specific as follows in Table 5:

# Of clusters	Cluster link	Frequency	t^2	Distance
20	OB1	OB3	2	
19	OB8	OB9	2	
18	OB5	OB7	2	
17	CL20	0B2	3	112
16	CL18	CL19	4	8
15	CL16	OB6	5	3.3
14	CL17	OB4	4	4.7
13	OB15	OB17	2	
12	CL15	OB11	6	3.6
11	OB13	OB16	2	
10	OB12	OB14	2	
9	CL14	CL12	10	14
8	CL9	OB10	11	5.8
7	CL11	CL13	4	10.4
6	OB18	OB19	2	
5	CL8	CL7	15	21.7
4	CL5	CL10	17	15
3	CL4	CL6	19	14.2
2	OB20	OB21	2	
1	CL3	CL2	21	43.7

Table 5: Pseudo I	F value and	pseudo t ²	value of	clustering
-------------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------	------------

We observe from Table 5, the pseudo t^2 value when divided into 1 class and 17 classes of pseudo t^2 values were 112 and 43.7, although frequencies are greater. However, dividing into 1 class and 17 classes has no practical significance. When divided into 5 classes, the pseudot²value is 21.7.The greater the value of pseudo t² is reflected in a good clustering effect, therefore, dividing into4 classes is better. Based on the pseudo t^2 value the logistics development of 21cities in Guangdong province is divided into 4 levels, respectively, these are (1) Guangzhou; (2)Shenzhen; (3) Dongguan, Foshan; (4) the remaining cities.

3. CONCLUSION

Combined with various cities in Guangdong province's geographical location and the principal component, cluster analysis results, we can divide the logistics development of Guangdong province into four parts, which are (1) Guangzhou; (2) Shenzhen; (3) Dongguan, Foshan; (4) the rest of the cities. At the same time it is divided into three level, details shown in Table 6:

Level	Regions	Cities
First level	Guangzhou Shenzhen	Guangzhou Shenzhen
Second level	"two wings" area of Guangzhou	Foshan, Dongguan
Third level	East, west, north underdeveloped area	Huizhou, Maoming, Zhanjiang, Zhuhai, Zhongshan, Jiangmen, Shantou, Jyeing, Shanwei, Chaozhou, Yanjing, Qingyuan, Zhaoqing,Shaoguan, Heyuan, Meizhou, Yunfu

Tabla	6. Regional	logistics	dovolo	nmont in	Cuona	Dong province
I able	U. Kegiuna i	logistics	uevelu	JIIIeIIt III	Guang	Doing province

Here we used principal component analysis and cluster analysis to evaluate the logistics development in Guangdong province. At the same time using threshold, scatter plot, pseudo t^2 Statistic to determine the number of classes, here finally get the objective and convincing conclusion. It can provide important reference and basis for regional logistics planning.

From the result of principal component analysis, we can see that logistics development in Guangdong province is really imbalanced, except for Guangzhou, Shenzhen, Dongguan and Foshan. The remaining cities all need to enhance and improve their logistical construction activity. Maoming, Jiangmen, Zhuhai, Shanwei, Shantou should give greater importance to the advantages of the coastal cities. They should increase their construction of the logistical infrastructure and develop their logistical infrastructure better.

Views and opinions expressed in this study are the views and opinions of the authors, Asian Journal of Empirical Research shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability etc. caused in relation to/arising out of the use of the content.

References

- Allen, J., Tanner, G., Browne, M., Anderson, S., Christodoulou, G., & Jones, P. (2003). Modeling policy measures and company initiatives for sustainable urban distribution. University of Westminster, London, United Kingdom.
- Anderson, S., Allen, J., & Brown, M. (2005). Urban logistics-how can it meet policy makers' sustainability objectives? J. Transp. Geography, 13, 71-81.
- Betanzo-Quezada, E. (2012). A methodological study to integrated urban freight approach: The case of the metropolitan area of Queretaro, Mexico. An Regional Urban Studies [Digital].
- Crainic, T. G, Ricciardi, N., & Storchi, G. (2004). Advanced freight transportation systems for congested urban areas. *Transp. Res. Part C*, 12: 119-137.
- Filippi, F., Nuzzolo, A., Comi, A., & Site, P. D. (2010). Ex-ante assessment of urban freight transport policies. *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.*, 2(3), 6332-6342.
- May, A. D., Nelly, C., & Shepherd, S. (2006). The principles of integration in urban transport strategies. *Transp. Policy*, 13, 319-327.
- May, A. D, Page, M., & Hull, A. (2008). Developing a set of decision-support tools for sustainable urban transport in the UK. *Transp. Policy*, 15, 328-340.
- Patier, D., & Brown, M. (2010). A methodology for the evaluation of urban freight innovations. *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.*, 2(3), 6229-6241.
- Ramanathan, R. (2001). A note on the use of the analytic hierarchy process for environmental impact assessment. J. Environmental. Management, 63, 27-35.
- Vizirgiannis, M., Haldiki, M., & Gunopulos, D. (2003). Uncertainty handling and quality assessment in data mining. London: Springer-Verlag.