

# **ENERGY ECONOMICS LETTERS**

http://aessweb.com/journal-detail.php?id=5049

### THE ENVIRONMENTAL KUZNETS CURVE AND GLOBALIZATION: THE EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FOR PORTUGAL, SPAIN, GREECE AND IRELAND

*Nuno Carlos Leitão Polytechnic Institute of Santarém, and CEFAGE, University of Évora, Portugal* 

### ABSTRACT

The main objective of this manuscript is to investagte the relationship between environmental Kuznets curve (ECK) in the presence of globalization for Portugal, Spain, Greece and Ireland for the period of 1980-2010. Using a panel data, the results show that linear and non-linear real income per capita (GDP, and GDP<sup>2</sup>) are according to the perspectives of inverted-U shaped between economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The findings support the theory that in general, there is a positive correlation between of energy consumption with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

**Keywords:** Carbon dioxide (CO2), environmental Kuznets curve (EKC), energy consumption, and globalization. **JEL classification:** C32, F18, Q56.

Crossponging Author: <u>nunocarlosleitao@gmail.com</u>

# 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the environmental Kuznets curve has become an important issue in the economics literature. This paper tests the link between environmental Kuznets curve and globalization. We examine this link for Portugal, Spain, Greece and Ireland. The period 1980-2010 was chosen on the basis of its providing a sufficient number of observations. The methodology uses a panel data approach. The panel is unbalanced due to the lack of information on some countries in all of the years analyzed. The motivation of this article reports on analyzing the relationship between ECK hypothesis and globalization. Leitão, (2012) demonstrates that current economic and financial crisis in the European Union shows regional disparities are evident in the euro zone, particularly in Portugal, Spain Greece, and Ireland. The current crisis questioned the principles of economic convergence.

This paper contributes in existing literature by investigating the EKC hypothesis. Our contribution presents two ways. First, we present a review of recent literature. Empirically, we conduct a panel unit root test ADF Fisher Chi-square, and the Fixed effects estimator to assess the EKC hypothesis. The manuscript also examines the relationship among  $CO_2$  emissions, GDP, quadratic GDP, and energy consumption for these countries.



Figure-1. Carbon Intensity using Purchasing Power Parities in percentage

Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration dataset

According to U.S Energy Information Administration, in 2010, 27.8% of carbon emissions was emitted by European Union countries. In 2010, Portugal uses 26.1% in carbon intensity, i.e. the amount of carbon dioxide emitted for each unit of GDP. Ireland has less value in terms of carbon intensity (23.9%). Indeed Greece is the most pollutant (37%). The distribution of carbon intensity is reported in Figure 1.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

In this section we present a survey of the environmental Kuznets curve literature (EKC) and  $CO_2$  emissions. A meta-analysis on the link between  $CO_2$  emissions and ECK demonstrates that there is a large number of empirical studies. Grossman and Krueger, (1995) was one of the first empirical studies to consider the realtionship between environmental quality and economic growth. They showed a negative association between emissions of carbon dioxide and per capita income. Moomaw and Unruh, (1997) considered the relationship between  $CO_2$  and per capita income developed countries (OECD countries). They found an inverted U-shaped for the period 1970 and 1980.

Latter on, for variable GDP (squared) per capita, the literature (Halicioglu, 2009 and Jalil and Mahmud, 2009) considers that this proxy has a negative impact on economic growth. Kraft and Kraft (1978), Yoo and Kwak (2010), and Reynolds and Kolodzieji, (2008) considered that energy promotes economic growth. However, Yuan et al. (2007), Odhiambo (2009), and Halicioglu (2009) found a different relationship i.e. a negative association. Sharma, (2011) examines the determinants of carbon dioxide emissions with panel data. The author consider a sample with 69 countries cover the period 1985-2005. This research shows that there is a negative correlation between urbanization and  $CO_2$  emissions. The study of Sharma, (2010) also concluded that trade, and energy have a negative effects on the  $CO_2$  emissions.

Ozturk and Acaravci, (2011) analyses the  $CO_2$  emissions, energy consumption, and economic growth in Turkey for the period 1968-2005. The empirical results of this study shows that EKC hypothesis at causal framework by using a linear logarithmic model is not valid in Turkish case. Shahbaz et al. (2011) examine the cointegration between electric consumption, economic growth, and employment in Portugal. The study of Shahbaz et al. (2011) cover the period 1971 to 2009. This emprical work shows that electricity consumption, economic growth, and employment in Portugal are cointegrated and there is bi-directional Granger causality between the three variables in the long-run.

Tiwari, (2011) shows a dynamic correlation between coal consumption, economic growth, trade and  $CO_2$  emissions. This study concluded that in India there is environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) in long run as well as in short run. Altunbas

and Kapusuzoglu, (2001) examine the causality between energy consumption and economic growth in United Kingdom cover the period 1987 and 2007. This study indicated no cointegration relationship between the variables of energy consumption and GDP, i.e. there is no long-term relationship between the variables. Leitão, (2011) analyses the United States environmental impacts on agriculture intra-industry trade (IIT), using a panel data. The research indicates that that there is a negative correlation between carbon dioxide emissions and intra- industry trade.

# 3. ECONOMETRIC MODEL

This study uses a panel data. In the static panel, we estimated by means of pooled OLS, fixed effects (FE) and random effects (RE). The F statistics test the null hypothesis of the same specific effects for all individuals. If we accept the null hypothesis, we can use the OLS estimator. The Hausman test can decide which model is better: random effects (RE) or fixed effects (FE).

### **3.1. Explanatory Variables**

According to the literature (Grossman and Krueger 1995 and Halicioglu 2009, Martínez-Zarzoso and Maruotti 2011, and Shahbaz et al. 2013), we test the following hypotheses:

Hypothesis 1: The linear real income per capita is positively correlated with  $CO_2$  emissions.

Hypothesis 1a: The non- linear real income per capita is negatively correlated with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Hypothesis 2: There is a positive correlation between of energy consumption with  $CO_2$  emissions.

The studies of Martínez-Zarzoso and Maruotti (2011), Wihardi (2010) show that energy consumption is positively correlated with CO2 emissions.

Hypothesis 3: Globalization is a main engine that provides a way to enhance production intensively by utilizing abundant domestic resources efficiently.

Agenor (2003), Dreher, 2006; Dreher, Gaston (2008) show globalization leads to greater integration of economies and Societies.

# **3.2. Model Specification**

The standard equation of EKC can be written as:

$$CO_{2t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 GDP_t + \beta_2 GDP_t^2 + \beta_3 KOF_t + \beta_4 Energy_t + \delta t + \eta_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(1)

The dependent variable is the carbon dioxid emissions of four countries: Portugal, Spain, Greece and Ireland for the period of 1980-2010. The data is obtained from world development indicators (CD-ROM, 2012). All the variables are in the logarithm form;  $\eta i$  is the unobserved time-invariant specific effects;  $\delta t$  captures a common deterministic trend;  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is a random disturbance assumed to be normal, and identical distributed (IID) with E ( $\varepsilon_{it}$ )=0; Var ( $\varepsilon_{it}$ )= $\sigma^2 > 0$ .

where  $CO_{2t}$  is carbon dioxide emission metric tons per capita. The data includes carbon dioxide produced during consumption of solid, liquid, and gas fuels and gas flaring; *Energy*<sub>t</sub> is energy consumption per capita;  $GDP_t (GDP_t^2)$  is real GDP (squared) per capita; the index of globalization ( $KOF_t$ ) proposed by Dreher (2006) represents three dimension of globalization: economic; social and political (see Dreher, 2006; Dreher, Gaston (2008).

#### 4. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

In the following tables, we present the results of panel unit root test ADF-Fischer Chi square in Table-1.

ADF-Fischer Chi square	Intercept and trend Statistic	Probability
Inverse chi-squared	19.68	0.00
Inverse normal	-2.69	0.00
Inverse logit	-2.66	0.00
Modified inv. Chi-squared	2.91	0.00

**Table-1.** Panel unit root test results:  $(LnCO_2)$  ADF-Fischer Chi square regression

Fixed effects estimates are reported in Table-2. The general performance of our model is satisfactory. Our analysis pretends to evaluate the signs of the coefficients and their significance. All explanatory variables are statistically significant at 1% ( $LnGDP_t$ , and  $LnGDP_t^2$ ), and 5% level (LnKOF, and LnEnergy). Linear and non-linear real income per capita ( $GDP_t$  and  $GDP_t^2$ ) are according to the perspectives of inverted-U shaped between economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. These results are according to previous studies (Song et al. 2008; Halicioglu 2009; Fodha and Zaghdoud 2010; Lean and Smyth 2010; Shahbaz et al. 2012, Tiwari et al. 2013).

ADF-Fischer Chi square	Intercept and trend Statistic	Probability
Inverse chi-squared	23.76	0.00
Inverse normal	-2.33	0.00
Inverse logit	-2.81	0.00
Modified inv. chi-squared	3.93	0.00

**Table-1a.** Panel unit root test results :(*LnKOF*,) ADF-Fischer Chi square Regression

Table-1b. Panel unit root test res	ults: ( <i>LnEnergy</i> ,	) ADF-Fischer (	Chi square Regression
		/	

ADF-Fischer Chi square	Intercept and trend St	atistic Probability		
T 1' 1	20.72	0.00		
Inverse chi-squared	38.72	0.00		
Inverse normal	-4.19	0.00		
Inverse logit	-5.29	0.00		
Modified inv. Chi-squared	7.68	0.00		
-				
	able-2. Fixed Effects			
<b>Dependent variable :</b> <i>LnCO</i> <sub>2</sub>				
Independent Variables	Coefficient	Expect Signs		
$LnGDP_t$	0.02 (12.50)***	(+)		
$LnGDP_t^2$	-0.27 (-9.46)***	(-)		
LnKOF <sub>t</sub>	0.01 (2.01)**	(+)		
LnEnergy <sub>t</sub>	0.14 (19.6)**	(+)		
Constant	4.05 (15.42)***			
$Adj. R^2$	0.79			

T-statistics (heteroskedasticity corrected) are in round brackets.

Note: \*\*\*/\*\* – statistically significant, respectively at the 1%, 5%, levels.

113

The coefficient of globalization  $(LnKOF_t)$  is consistent with theoretical predictions, positive impact on carbon dioxide emissions. Following the studies of Ang i.e a (2008), Halicioglu (2009), Jalil and Mahmud (2009), the study also includes the variable energy consumption (LnEnergy,). Our results are in the line the empirical studies.

# 5. CONCLUSIONS

Ν

The present study has important policy implications for Portugal, Spain, Greece and Ireland. The objective of this study was to analyze the link between carbon emissions, economic growth, energy consumption, and globalization. Econometrics estimations support the hypothesis formulated. Our results are robust with the theoretical models. The variables (LnGDP) and squared GDP per capita (LnGDP<sup>2</sup>) used to evaluate ECK hypothesis are according to the perspectives of inverted-U shaped between economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. These results are in line with previous studies (Shahbaz et al. 2013; Shahbaz et al. 2012, and Tiwari et al. 2013). Martínez-Zarzoso and Maruotti (2011), Wihardi (2010) also show that energy consumption is positively correlated with CO2 emissions.

According to the literature we expected a positive sign to globalization. It is usual that the literature attributes a positive sign to globalization; i.e globalization is a main engine that provides a way to enhance production intensively by utilizing abundant domestic resources efficiently.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is indebted to the anonymous referee for greatly improving from the previous version. The author is also thankful to the editor of EEL to support our paper.

#### 6. **REFERENCES**

Agenor, P. R. (2003). *Does Globalization Hurt the Poor?* January 7, working paper.

Altunbas Y., Kapusuzoglu A. (2001). The Causality between energy consumption and economic growth in United Kingdom, Economic Research, 24 (2): 60-66.

Ang, J. B., (2008). Economic development, pollutant emissions and energy consumption in Malaysia. *Journal of Policy Modeling* 30: 271-278.

**Dreher, A. (2006).** Does globalization affects growth? Evidence from new index of globalization . *Applied Economics*. 38: 1091-1110.

Dreher, A., Gaston, N., (2008). Has Globalization Increased Inequality ?. *Review* of International Economics. 16: 516-536.

Fodha, M., Zaghdoud, O., (2010). Economic growth and pollutant emissions in Tunisia: an empirical analysis of the environmental Kuznets curve. *Energy Policy*. 38: 1150-1156.

**Grossman, G. M., Krueger, A. B., (1995).** Environmental Impacts of a North American Free Trade Agreement. National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper 3914, NBER, Cambridge MA.

Halicioglu, F., (2009). An econometric study of CO2 emissions, energy consumption, income and foreign trade in Turkey. *Energy Policy* 37: 1156-1164.

Jalil, A., Mahmud, S., (2009). Environment Kuznets curve for CO2 emissions: a cointegration analysis for China. *Energy Policy* 37: 5167-5172.

Kraft, J., Kraft, A., (1978). On the relationship between energy and GNP. *Journal of Energy Development* 3: 401-403.

Lean, H. H., Smyth, R., (2010). CO2 emissions, electricity consumption and output in ASEAN. *Applied Energy* 87: 1858-1864.

Leitão, N. C., (2012). Bank Credit and Economic Growth: A Dynamic Panel Data Analysis. *Economic Research Guardian* 2(2): 256-267.

Leitão, N.C., (2011). Environmental change and agriculture: The role of international trade. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 6(17): 4065-4068.

Martínez-Zarzoso, I., Maruotti, A., (2011). The impact of urbanization on CO2 emissions: Evidence from developing countries. *Ecological Economics* 70: 1344-1353.

Moomaw W.R. and G.C. Unruh (1997), Are environmental Kuznets curves misleading us? The case of CO2 emissions, *Environment and Development Economics* 2:451-463.

**Odhiambo, N. M., (2009).** Energy consumption and economic growth nexus in Tanzania: an ARDL bounds testing approach. *Energy Policy* 37: 617-622.

**Ozturk I.**, Acaravci, A.(2001). CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, energy consumption and economic growth in Turkey. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 14(9): 3220-3225.

**Reynolds, D. B., Kolodziej, M., (2008).** Former Soviet Union oil production and GDP decline: granger causality and the multi-cycle Hubbert curve. *Energy Economics* 30: 271-289.

**Shahbaz, M (2011),** Tang, Chor Foon ,Shahbaz Shabbir, Muhammad, (2011). Electricity Consumption and Economic Growth in Portugal using Cointegration and Causality Approaches. *Energy Policy* 39 (6): 3529-3536.

Shahbaz, M., Lean, H. H., Shabbir, M. S., (2012). Environmental Kuznets Curve Hypothesis in Pakistan: Cointegration and Granger causality. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 16:2947-2953.

Shahbaz, M., Mihai, M., Parvez, A., (2013). Environmental Kuznets Curve in Romenia: and the Role of energy consumption. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*18: 165-173.

Sharma, S (2011). Determinants of Carbon Dioxide Emissions: Empirical Evidence from 69 Counties. *Applied Energy* 88:376-382.

Sharma, S. S. (2010). The relationship between energy and economic growth:

Emprical Evidence from 66 countries. Applied Energy, 87 (11): 3565-3574.

**Song, T., Zheng, T., Tong, L., (2008).** An empirical test of the environmental Kuznets curve in China: a panel cointegration approach. *China Economic Review* 19: 381-392.

**Tiwari, A. (2011),** (2011). A structural VAR analysis of renewable energy consumption, real GDP and CO2 emissions: Evidence from India. *Economics Bulletin* 31 (2): 181-188.

Tiwari, A. K., Shahbaz, M., Hye, A. Q. M., (2013). The environmental Kuznets curve and the role of coal consumption in India: Cointegration and causality analysis in an open economy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*: 519-527.

Wihardja, M. (2010). Corruption in the public procurement auctions: positive equilibrium analysis, incentive mechanism design, and empirical study. *Journal of Economic Development*, 35(1): 35-57.

**Yoo, S.H., Kwak, S.Y., (2010).** Electricity consumption and economic growth in seven South American countries. *Energy Policy* 38 (1): 181-188.

Yuan, J., Zhao, C., Yu, S., Hu, Z., (2007). Electricity consumption and economic growth in China: cointegration and co-feature collection. *Energy Economics* 29: 1179-1191.

### Appendix

Table-3. Correlations between variables					
Variables	LnCO <sub>2</sub>	$LnGDP_t$	$LnGDP_t^2$	<i>LnKOF</i> <sub>t</sub>	<i>LnEnergy</i> <sub>t</sub>
LnCO <sub>2</sub>	1.00				
$LnGDP_t$	0.66	1.00			
$LnGDP_t^2$	0.55	0.95	1.00		
LnKOF <sub>t</sub>	0.39	0.03	0.07	1.00	
<i>LnEnergy</i> <sub>t</sub>	0.14	0.36	0.40	0.18	1.00



